

Non-catalytic Behavior of SiO₂ Fine Powders in Presence of Strong Shock Waves for Aerospace Applications

Vishakantaiah Jayaram^{1, *}, Ravichandran Ranjith², Parthasarathi Bera³

¹Solid State and Structural Chemistry Unit, Indian Institute of Science, Bengaluru, India
 ²Department of Aerospace Engineering, Indian Institute of Science, Bengaluru, India
 ³Surface Engineering Division, Council of Scientific and Industrial Research–National Aerospace Laboratories, Bengaluru, India

Email address

jayaram@iisc.ac.in (V. Jayaram) *Corresponding author

Citation

Vishakantaiah Jayaram, Ravichandran Ranjith, Parthasarathi Bera. Non-catalytic Behavior of SiO₂ Fine Powders in Presence of Strong Shock Waves for Aerospace Applications. *Journal of Materials Sciences and Applications*. Vol. 4, No. 3, 2018, pp. 37-46.

Received: February 16, 2018; Accepted: March 21, 2018; Published: May 16, 2018

Abstract: Application of shock tubes for the interaction of shock-heated test gases with SiO_2 fine powders has been demonstrated for the first time in this work. Fine SiO_2 powders are made to interact with shock-heated test gases at reflected shock temperatures of 1960–3990 K (estimated) inside the shock tube for millisecond (ms) time scale. The objective of the work is to investigate the behavior of SiO_2 powders upon interaction with the high temperature shock-heated nitrogen gas. The SiO_2 samples are characterized using different experimental techniques to understand the effects of shock interaction and to study the non-catalytic behavior of SiO_2 powders as it form new compounds on interaction with test gases at the experimentally simulated high temperature conditions. The high temperature imparted by shock wave is absorbed by SiO_2 and undergoes phase transformation as evident from the XRD patterns. SEM and TEM studies show the formation of spherical particles after shock treatment due to the superheating and cooling of fine powders. XPS studies show the formation of silicon nitride and silicon oxy-nitride resulting from the interaction of high temperature nitrogen gas, confirming the non-catalytic behavior of SiO_2 powders.

Keywords: Strong Shock Waves, Shock-Powder Interaction, SiO₂ Powders, Non-catalytic Reaction, Nitridation, Millisecond Reaction

1. Introduction

During atmospheric re-entry, shock wave engulfs the vehicle and gives rise to a strong thermo-chemical nonequilibrium situation inside the shock layer. The gas trapped between the shock layer and the vehicle becomes excited in its degrees of freedom such as vibration and electronic excitation, dissociation and ionization in some cases depending on the temperature of the shock layer [1]. When the dissociated species recombine, they give up the dissociation energy which is transferred as heat flux to the vehicle surface [2, 3]. In addition to that molecular gas at high temperatures might also transfer heat to the vehicle body. The chemical reaction can be both homogeneous and heterogeneous depending on the carrier of the released energy. The scenario in which the vehicle surface acts as the third body and takes away the energy released from recombination is termed as heterogeneous catalytic surface recombination [4]. The recombination of these atomic species is very critical in estimating the heat flux to the surface, which in turn is significant in designing the thermal protection system (TPS) of a mission [5]. Since the weight reduction in TPS leads to an increase in payload of the mission, understanding the surface reaction mechanisms is critical in the design of an optimized TPS [6]. Many studies have been carried out using plasma arc jet wind tunnels to study the chemical reactions involved during re-entry. The arc discharge facilities introduce metallic contamination from the electrode and hence the catalycity of the material cannot be estimated properly [7]. Also the plasma arc jet facilities

simulate blunt body stagnation heat transfer [8] but cannot simulate the pressure, density and enthalpy conditions in the flight conditions simultaneously [9]. Moreover, considering the specific test gases such as Ar, N_2 or O_2 will give more insight into the surface reaction mechanisms.

In case of ablative TPS, the material erodes away from the surface and the ablated material might diffuse into the shock layer and forms new product by reacting with the shockheated gases. This work is aimed at understanding the reaction mechanisms which might occur when the TPS material ablates and interacts with the non-equilibrium gas present during atmospheric re-entry. To understand the reaction mechanisms involved in this interaction of gas species with the TPS material during re-entry, ground test facilities have been established. One such facility is shock tube which can be employed to expose the materials, in the form of thin films, pellets and fine powders, to shock waves [10-12]. The dissociated gas species in shock layer diffuse into the boundary layer and react with the TPS material on the vehicle surface producing new compound(s) out of it. In this context, the present work is taken up by exposing the SiO₂ fine powders to shock waves in presence test gases. SiO₂ is chosen for this study since it has low catalycity and it is used as the base material for most of the TPS, both ablative and reusable [3, 13]. The dependence of catalycity on temperature and pressure is difficult to explain theoretically. The dependence seems to be affected by material type as well as experimental conditions such as temperature range, pressure range and kind of fraction of gas species [7]. Nitridation is done by various other chemical methods such as carbothermal reduction nitridation (CRN) [14], plasma nitridation [15] and diffusion reaction [16], etc. This paper presents a novel method of nitridation by shock waves due to interaction that happens in millisecond timescale. Other than thermal protection, SiO₂ microcapsules can potentially be used in aerospace applications to induce self-healing of the spacecraft coatings [17]. SiO₂ coatings can act as a sealant for cracks and also helps in preventing the external diffusion of carbon by forming a glassy protective layer [18].

The process of shock-induced non-catalytic surface reactions in SiO_2 fine powders is demonstrated in this work by using nitrogen as the test gas in shock tubes. The fundamental reaction mechanisms are studied for a given temperature and pressure condition that would throw some light to make some correlations of catalycity with the given conditions. The

investigation carried out here brings out the non-catalytic behavior of the SiO_2 fine powders upon interaction with shock-heated test gases at given reflected shock pressure and temperature conditions. Different experimental characterization techniques are employed to study the changes in SiO_2 powders before and after shock treatment.

2. Experimental Details

2.1. Shock Treatment Experiment

The shock-materials interaction experiments were carried out in Material Shock Tube (MST1) indigenously fabricated and installed at Shock Induced Material Chemistry Laboratory, Solid State and Structural Chemistry Unit, Indian Institute of Science, Bengaluru, India. MST1 is comprised of driver and driven section of 2 m and 5 m length respectively with an inner diameter of 80 mm, the schematic diagram of MST1 is shown in Figure 1. The shock tube is operated by conventional method of bursting an Al metal diaphragm of required thickness to produce shock waves of desired strength. The diaphragm used here is made of aluminum, which is placed between the driver and driven section. The driven section is purged with ultra high pure (UHP) Argon (99.999%) for three times and is filled with the required UHP test gas mixture; argon and nitrogen at an equal partial pressure of 0.05 bar each, amounting to 0.1 bar test gas fill pressure. Before filling the test gas mixture, the shock tube is pumped to a high vacuum upto $\sim 8 \times 10^{-5}$ bar to avoid any gas impurities. The driver section is filled with high pressure helium to burst the diaphragm to produce shock waves. The primary shock wave (at pressure P_2) travels inside the driven section and produces reflected shock wave (at pressure P_5) as it gets reflected at the end flange of the driven section. To obtain different reflected shock temperatures (T_5) , Al diaphragms of different thicknesses (3 mm, 2.5 mm, 2 mm and 1.5 mm) are used. Pressure transducers (PCB Piezotronics Model No. 113B22) are mounted at various locations to acquire pressure signals and are recorded by a Tektronix digital oscilloscope (Model no. TBS2014B). The time taken by the shock wave (Δt) to cross the two given sensor location at known distance (Δx) is used to find out the shock speed (V_S) . The shock Mach number (M_S) thus calculated is used to calculate the reflected shock temperature (T_5) using 1-D normal shock relations [19]:

$$V_{S} = \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta t}; \ M_{S} = \frac{V_{S}}{a} = \frac{V_{S}}{\sqrt{\gamma R T_{1}}}$$
(1)

$$\frac{T_{5}}{T_{1}} = \frac{\left\{2\left(\gamma - 1\right)M_{s}^{2} + (3 - \gamma)\right\}\left\{(3\gamma - 1)M_{s}^{2} - 2\left(\gamma - 1\right)\right\}}{\left(\gamma + 1\right)^{2}M_{s}^{2}}$$
(2)

where *a* is the speed of sound in test gas mixture, γ is the specific heat ratio of test gas mixture, *R* is the gas constant and T_I is the temperature of test gas mixture. The Al diaphragms of different thicknesses give shock waves at different Mach

numbers (M_S) ranging from 3.1 to 4.5 corresponding to different reflected shock temperatures (T_S) ranging from 1960 K to 3990 K (estimated) for milliseconds timescale. The values of Mach number, reflected shock pressure and temperature for

all the cases are listed in Table 1. At these shock temperatures, SiO_2 fine powders mounted after the gate valve are exposed to shock waves to study the reaction mechanisms. To understand the effect of number of shock interaction on SiO_2 powders, the fresh samples are exposed to shock wave once (termed as 1-

shock treatment) and twice (termed as 2-shock treatment) at different reflected shock temperatures. Shock heated N_2 gas reacts with SiO₂ in the SiO₂ reaction chamber. Solid residue left after shock tube experiments were collected from the chamber for further analysis.



Figure 1. Schematic diagram of material shock tube (MST1).

Table 1. Shock parameters for different experiments.

Sl. No	Shock Mach numberM _S	Reflected shock pressure P5 (bar)	Reflected shock temperatureT ₅ (K)		
1-shock treatment					
1	4.4	13.2	3790		
2	4.2	12.1	3410		
3	4.0	10.7	3140		
4	3.1	7.3	1960		
2-shock treatment					
5	4.5	14.1	3990		
6	4.1	10.5	3340		
7	4.0	9.9	3250		
8	3.3	9.4	2250		

2.2. Characterization

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were obtained for the samples before and after shock treatments to analyze the changes in crystal structure. The XRD patterns were recorded for all the samples at a scan rate of 2° min⁻¹ with a step size of 0.013° for 20 from 15 to 60° (PANalytical Empyrean, Cu $K\alpha$ (λ) = 1.5418 Å). Scanning electron microscope (SEM) micrographs were obtained to study the surface morphology of the samples before and after shock treatment (FEI Quanta SIRION) at a working distance of 5 mm operating at 10kV accelerating voltage. High resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) was done using TEM T20 to understand the crystalline nature of the samples before and after shock treatments. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was done with (AXIS Ultra DLD, Kratos Analytical) using Al Ka (1486.6 eV) radiation as an X-ray source operated at 150 W (12 kV and 12.5 mA) to characterize the electronic structure and chemical composition of the samples. The binding energies reported here were calculated with reference to C1s peak at 284.5 eV. All the spectra were obtained with pass energy of 40 eV and step increment of 0.05 eV. Low energy electron flood gun was used to nullify the charge accumulating on the insulating samples.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. XRD Studies

Fresh SiO₂ sample shows XRD peaks corresponding to the cristobalite (JCPDS No. 01-077-1316) and α -quartz (JCPDS No. 01-078-1253) phases which are identified from the database and assigned as 'C' and 'Q' respectively as shown in Figure 2. The cristobalite is tetragonal with lattice parameters of a = b = 4.971 Å, c = 6.928 Å and α -quartz is hexagonal with lattice parameters of a = b = 4.912 Å, c = 5.402 Å. The pristine sample exhibits both crystalline and amorphous nature with two prominent crystalline peaks at 21.9° and 26.7°. Due to interaction with shock in presence of Ar+N₂ test gas mixture, the samples undergo phase transformation from crystalline to amorphous nature with the increasing shock temperature. In addition to this, after 1-shock treatment with Ar+N₂ mixture, SiO₂ forms new compounds such as silicon oxynitride and silicon nitride with traces of unreacted cristobalite and α -quartz due to shock induced nitridation. The peaks due to silicon nitride and silicon oxynitride are denoted by ' Δ ' and ' ψ ' respectively as highlighted in Figure 2a. The peak at 44.5° is due to the aluminum impurity from the diaphragm used to produce shock waves, which is indicated by '*' in Figure 2. After 2-shock treatment, the pristine sample gets completely

converted to amorphous nature indicated by the disappearance of the crystalline peak at 2θ = 21.9°. Unlike 1-shock treatment, the transformation to amorphous is more pronounced with no traces of crystallinity in the XRD pattern of 2-shock-treated sample. Also the crystal structure of SiO₂ changes from tetragonal to cubic form. Formation of silicon nitride is seen at 2250 K and in the other cases, the crystalline SiO₂ transforms to amorphous nature of oxide or nitride.



Figure 2. XRD patterns of SiO_2 powders before and after shock treatment at different temperatures: (a) 1-shock treatment and (b) 2-shock treatment.

3.2. SEM Studies

SEM micrographs of SiO₂ sample before shock treatment

shows irregular shaped particles of different sizes as it can be seen from Figure 3a. After 1-shock treatment at different temperatures, the irregular particles change to spherical particles of different sizes and sponge structures due to reaction with shock heated Ar+N2 mixture. However, at shock temperature of 1960 K, there is no significant change observed in the surface morphology of SiO₂ powders as shown in Figure 3b. For 1-shock treatment at shock temperature of 3790 K, spherical particles are observed with few unreacted SiO_2 as seen in Figure 3c. When the sample is exposed to 2-shock treatment at the minimum temperature condition (2250 K), few spherical particles are seen due to the interaction of shock twice with the sample as shown in Figure 3d. Due to 2-shock treatment at the maximum temperature (3390 K), the formation of spherical and spongetype particles are observed as shown in Figure 3e. There are no traces of unreacted SiO₂ after 2-shock treatment. At higher magnifications, even smaller spheres are found to be distributed on the bigger spheres. In some cases, two or more spheres are seen fused together indicating the effect of super heating and cooling due to shock waves.



Figure 3. SEM images of SiO_2 powders before and after shock treatment at different temperatures.

3.3. TEM Studies

The bright field image of pure SiO_2 shows irregular particle size as can be seen from Figure 4a. The irregular particles become uniformly spherical after shock treatment. The bright field images of SiO_2 after one and two shock treatments show uniform spherical particles as can be seen from Figures 4b-4e. The size of the fresh SiO_2 particles range from 100–500 nm and upon shock interaction they form uniform spheres of varying sizes ranging from 10–300 nm. High resolution TEM images of fresh SiO_2 exhibits crystalline fringes where as the shock treated samples are of amorphous nature.



Figure 4. HRTEM images of SiO_2 *powders before and after shock treatment at different temperatures.*

3.4. XPS Studies

Deconvoluted Si2p core levels of the SiO₂ fine powders and shock-treated samples are shown in Figure 5. Si2p binding energy peak positions of different Si species of SiO₂ samples with different shock-treated conditions are summarized in Table 2. XPS of SiO₂ powders before shock treatments show peaks due to Si-O and SiO₂ at 101.1and 103.6 eV respectively. The peak positions are in good agreement with those reported in literature [20]. After the shock treatment of SiO₂ with Ar+N₂ mixture, the SiO₂ fine powders form new compounds such as silicon nitride and silicon oxynitride, in addition to formation of Si₂O and elemental Si. After 1-shock treatment, SiO₂ fine powders show formation of new compounds by indicating new peaks corresponding to Si₃N₄ and SiO_xN_y. The peak positions of Si_3N_4 vary from 101.6–101.8eV and for SiO_xN_y the peaks vary from 102.5-102.8eV. The formation of elemental Si is also observed from the presence of peaks in the range of 99.2–99.7 eV in all the shock-treated samples. As the sample would not have been completely reacted and formed into nitride/oxynitride species, some unreacted SiO₂ is also seen in the shock-treated samples in the range of 103.7-103.8 eV. The peak positions of species for different shock treatment cases are similar to those reported in the literature [21, 22]. The slight variations in the peak position might be an indication of the formation of sub-stoichiometric nitride compounds [22] and mixed phase due to high temperature shock wave interaction with SiO₂ powders. After 2-shock treatment, similar Si2p peaks are observed corresponding to new nitrides in the binding energy range of 101.6-101.9eV and oxynitrides in the range of 102.6-102.9eV as shown in Figure 5. Elemental Si is observed in the binding energy range of 99.1-99.5 eV. Peaks corresponding to SiO₂ are seen in the range of 103.7-104.0eV.





Figure 5. XPS of Si2p core levels of SiO_2 powders before and after shock treatment at different temperatures:(a) 1-shock treatment and (b) 2-shock treatment.

Table 2. Si2p binding energy (eV) positions for different Si based compounds produced after shock-treatment of SiO₂ powders.

T ₅	Si	Si ₂ O	SiO	Si ₃ N ₄	SiO _x N _y	SiO ₂
1-shock treatment						
3790 K	99.7	100.7		101.6	102.5	103.8
3410 K	99.2	100.3		101.7	102.7	103.8
3140 K	99.4	100.4		101.6	102.8	103.8
1960 K	99.4	100.6		101.8	102.6	103.7
Pristine SiO ₂			101.1			103.6
2-shock treatment						
3990 K	99.3	100.7		101.8	102.8	103.8
3340 K	99.3	100.5		101.6	102.6	103.7
3250 K	99.5	100.7		101.9	102.8	104.0
2250 K	99.1	100.4		101.8	102.9	104.0
Pristine SiO ₂			101.1			103.6

Table 3. Ols binding energy (eV) positions for differentSi based compounds produced after shock-treatment of SiO₂ powders.

T ₅	SiO	SiO _x N _y	SiO ₂		
1-shock treatment					
3790 K		531.2	533.3		
3410 K	530.9	532.2	533.5		
3140 K		531.7	533.7		
1960 K		531.4	533.7		
Pristine SiO ₂			533.2		
2-shock treatment					
3990 K		531.3	533.6		
3340 K		531.4	533.5		
3250 K	530.8	532.0	533.5		
2250 K		532.0	533.5		
Pristine SiO ₂			533.2		

Table 4. N1s binding energy (eV) positions for different Si based compounds produced aftershock-treatment of SiO_2 powders.

T ₅	Si ₃ N ₄	SiO _x N _y	Adsorbed gas species (N, molecular N ₂)	N ₂ O		
1-shock treatment						
3790 K	396.9	398.7	402.6			
3410 K	396.8	398.8	402.6			
3140 K	397.0	398.8	402.6			
1960 K	397.3	399.3	401.8	404.5		
Pristine SiO ₂	397.1		401.8			
2-shock treatment						
3990 K	397.3		400.1, 402.5	404.7		
3340 K	397.0	398.8	402.1			
3250 K	397.0		400.0, 402.5			
2250 K	397.0	398.6	402.4			
Pristine SiO ₂	397.1		401.8			





Figure 6. XPS of O1s core levels of SiO_2 powders before and after shock treatment at different temperatures: (a) 1-shock treatment and (b) 2-shock treatment.

Deconvoluted core level spectra of O1s before and after shock treatments are shown in Figure 6. O1s binding energy peak positions of different Si based compounds produced from SiO₂ samples with different shock-treated conditions are given in Table 3. The spectra of fresh SiO₂ powder indicate the peak corresponding to SiO₂ at 533.2 eV. After 1-shock treatment, the peaks due to SiO₂ are observed in the range of 533.3–533.7 eV which is similar to that reported in literature [23, 24]. The formation of oxynitride compounds, after 1-shock treatment, is evident from the peaks occurring at 531.2–532.2 eV. The similar trend is observed in the 2-shock-treated samples also and the respective peak positions are also listed in Table 3. In addition to this formation of SiO is also observed in few cases from the peaks at 530.8–530.9 eV.



Figure 7. XPS of N1s core levels of SiO_2 powders before and after shock treatment at different temperatures: (a) 1-shock treatment and (b) 2-shock treatment.

The deconvoluted N1s core level spectra of shock-treated samples, shown in Figure 7, also reflects the presence of new compounds by showing peaks corresponding to silicon nitride at 396.8–397.3 eV for 1-shock and 2-shock-treated samples at different temperatures. The peaks due to silicon oxynitride in both 1-shock and 2-shock-treated samples are seen at 398.0–398.4 eV. The peaks are in reasonable agreement to those reported in the literature [25, 26]. There are few other peaks observed in some cases, occurring in the range 400.0–402.6 eV, which belong to the adsorbed N and molecular N₂ species [27]. Peaks attributed to N₂O are also observed at 404.5–404.7 eV in few cases [28]. N1s binding energy peak positions of different Si based compounds produced from SiO₂ samples with different shock-treated conditions are presented in Table 4.



Figure 8. Intensity ratio of nitride and oxynitride peaks to oxide peaks of Si2p core level spectra: (a) 1-shock-treated samples and (b) 2-shock-treated samples.

The XPS results show the formation of the new nitride and oxynitride compounds confirming that non-catalytic surface reactions take place due to the interaction of SiO_2 fine

powders with shock-heated $Ar+N_2$ mixture gas. To understand the effect of shock temperature and the number of shock treatments on the SiO₂ powders, quantification of the formed silicon nitride (Si₃N₄) and silicon oxynitride (SiO_xN_y) is done. For the quantification, the intensity of the corresponding nitride or oxynitride peaks in the deconvoluted XPS is considered. Ratio of the peak intensities of the given nitride or oxynitride peak to that of the oxide peaks (SiO₂) for each shock-treated sample is calculated and plotted in Figure 8. The presence of the nitride and oxynitride content is found to be more for high reflected shock temperatures.

In all the cases, the presence of both Si_3N_4 and SiO_xN_y is observed. For 2-shock-treated samples, the content of the oxynitride is more in comparison with nitride compound. This increase in oxynitide after 2-shock treatment might be due to the formation of oxynitrides from the already present nitrides which are formed during the 1-shock treatment. The formation of such nitride and oxynitirde compounds confirms that the SiO_2 fine powders have undergone a non-catalytic surface reaction upon interaction with shock heated nitrogen gas.

The XRD pattern indicates the formation of new nitrogen bonded SiO₂ compounds and unreacted compound after two shock treatment remains as amorphous SiO₂. Upon shock interaction the irregular shaped SiO₂ particles become uniform micro and nano spheres as confirmed from the SEM and TEM micrographs. The interaction of shock waves with the powders results in the formation of new compounds as it is evident from the XPS studies.

4. Fully Non-catalytic Surface Recombination Reaction

Shock-induced non-catalytic and catalytic reactions have been carried out using shock tube (MST1) in presence of shock heated nitrogen test gas. Heterogenerous non-catalytic surface recombination reaction occurs on the surface of SiO_2 fine powder in presence of high temperature N₂ gas at one and two shock treatment for about 2 ms test time. At this temperature, nitrogen can go to translational, vibrational excitation and dissociation states resulting in the three-body non-catalytic/catalytic surface recombination reaction as illustrated in Figure 9.



Figure 9. Schematic diagram of three body non-catalytic surface reactions.

Exposure to shock, mainly SiO_2 undergoes 3-body surface non-catalytic reaction by changing to different nitride compounds and also remains as SiO_2 due to catalytic surface reaction as illustrated in Figure 9 where M, M_1/M_2 represent the surface of as-prepared and shock-treated SiO_2 fine powders, respectively. Reactions with high temperature N_2 molecule are shown below during which heat transfers from high temperature gas to materials (M) by forming new material M_1/M_2 :

$$N_2 + SiO_2(M) \rightarrow NO_2 + Si_3N_4(M_1) / SiO_xN_y(M_2)$$
 (3)

$$N_2 + SiO_2(M) \rightarrow N_2 + SiO_x N_y(M_2)$$
(4)

When a shock heated N_2 gas dissociates, the dissociated gas atoms recombine and transfer heat to the materials (third body M) by evolving O_2 , N_2 , N_2O gas etc. as shown in the reactions below:

$$N + N + SiO_2(M) \rightarrow O_2 + Si_3N_4(M_1)$$
(5)

$$N + N + SiO_2(M) \rightarrow N_2 + SiO_xN_y(M_2)$$
(6)

where M is SiO_2 and M_1 and M_2 are compounds of SiO_2 after shock treatment. During shock interaction, heat transfers from N_2 gas molecules to SiO_2 (M) by forming new compound is shown by analyzing its electronic structure and chemical composition.

In all these cases, heat transfers from gas molecules to third body (M), while the change in electronic structure and chemical composition of the third body are analyzed using different experimental techniques. From XRD and other characterization techniques, it has been concluded that change in crystal structure occurs due to heat transfer from shock heated test gases (N₂). Surface heating is about 40% less for non-catalytic wall reaction [29]. SiO₂ fibers are used as TPS material in the form of silica tile in the re-entry space vehicles and capsules. SiO2 undergoes both non-catalytic and catalytic surface reaction with different test gases, which reveals that it is a promising thermal protection material for aerospace and other high temperature applications. When a space vehicle enters into atmosphere at a speed of 2-8 km/s, gas around the shock layer experiences real gas effect. Gas species under such conditions interact with the ablated SiO₂ fine particles. Understanding the reaction of such ablated fine SiO₂ particles in the shock layer with high temperature nitrogen is of seminal importance in space applications during atmosphere re-entry.

5. Conclusions

The interaction of SiO_2 fine powders with the shockheated test gases has been demonstrated using shock tubes. The results clearly show the formation of new compounds such as silicon nitride and silicon oxynitride resulting from the surface reaction of SiO_2 with shock heated gases. XRD patterns of the shock-treated samples show that SiO_2 powders transform from crystalline to amorphous phase upon interaction with shock waves. The effect is more pronounced in the higher shock temperatures and with the increasing number of shock treatments. The diffraction peaks corresponding to the new phases are also observed in the XRD patterns. SEM and HRTEM micrographs show that irregular shaped pristine SiO_2 forms uniform micro/nano spheres upon shock interaction. The presence of the newly formed nitride and oxynitrides are clearly observed from the XPS of the shock-treated samples. Nitride and oxynitride content is observed to be more for high temperature shock treatments. The formation of the new compounds is found to be due to the non-catalytic surface reactions of the SiO_2 powders which occur during the interaction of shock-heated Ar+N₂ test gas mixture.

Funding

Financial supports for this project from ISRO-IISc STC, DRDO and DST, Government of India are gratefully acknowledged.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Professors K P J Reddy and G. Jagadeesh from the Department of Aerospace Engineering and Prof. E. Arunan, Inorganic and Physical Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bengaluru for their support and fruitful discussions.

References

- P. A. Gnoffo, Planetary-entry gas dynamics, Annu Rev Fluid Mech. 31 (1999) 459–94.
- [2] C. D. Scott, Catalytic recombination of nitrogen and oxygen on high-temperature reusable surface insulation, 15th AIAA Thermophysics Conference, Snowmass, 14-16 July (1980).
- [3] T. Kurotaki, Construction of catalytic model on SiO₂-based surface and application to real trajectory, 34th AIAA Thermophysics Conference, Denver, 19-22 June (2000).
- [4] E. H. Hirschel, Basics of aerothermodynamics, Springer, (2004).
- [5] M. Balat-Pichelin, J. M. Badie, R. Berjoan, P. Boubert, Recombination coefficient of atomic oxygen on ceramic materials under earth re-entry conditions by optical emission spectroscopy, Chem. Phys. 291 (2003) 181–194.
- [6] M. Barbato, S. Reggiani, C. Bruno, J. Muylaert, Model for heterogeneous catalysis on metal surfaces with applications to hypersonic flows, J. Thermo. Heat Trans. 14 (2000) 412–420.
- [7] K. Oguri, T. Sekigawa, J. Kochiyama, K. Miho, Catalycity measurement of oxidation-resistant CVD-SiC coating on C/C composite for space vehicle, Mater. Trans. 42 (2001) 856–861.
- [8] R. Morgan, Shock tubes and tunnels: Facilities, instrumentation and techniques, Chapter 4.3 Free pistondriven expansion tubes, In: Handbook of Shock Waves, vol. 1, (2001) 603–622.
- [9] P. Leyland, T. McIntyre, R. Morgan, P. Jacobs, F. Zander, U. Sheikh, T. Eichmann, E. Fahy, O. Joshi, G. Duffa, D. Potter,

N. Banerji, J. Mora-Monteros, V. Marguet, Radiation-ablation coupling for capsule re-entry heating via simulation and expansion tube investigations, 5th European Conference for Aeronautics and Space Sciences (2013).

- [10] K. P. J. Reddy, M. S. Hedge, and V. Jayaram, Material processing and surface reaction studies in free piston driven shock tube, 26th International Symposium on Shock Waves, Gottingen, Germany (Plenary talk), 15-20 July (2007) Page 35–42.
- [11] V. Jayaram, Experimental investigations of surface interactions of shock heated gases on high temperature materials using high enthalpy shock tubes, Ph.D. Thesis. Bangalore (India): Indian Institute of Science, (2007).
- [12] V. Jayaram, R. Ranjith, H. K. T. Kumara, K. SubbaRao, K. P. J. Reddy, Investigation of non-catalytic reaction of shock heated nitrogen gas with powder SiO₂, 30th International Symposium on Shock Waves, Tel Aviv, Israel, 19-24 July (2015).
- [13] I. Cozmuta, Molecular mechanisms of gas surface interactions in hypersonic flow, 39th AIAA Thermophysics Conf., Miami, FL, (2007).
- [14] K. Chen, Z. Huang, Y. Liu, M. Fang, J. Huang, Y. Xu, Synthesis of β -Si₃N₄ powder from quartz via carbothermal reduction nitridation, Powder Tech. 235 (2013) 728–734.
- [15] A. N. Itakura, M. Shimoda, M. Kitajima, Surface stress relaxation in SiO₂ films by plasma nitridation and nitrogen distribution in the film, Appl. Surf. Sci. 216 (2003) 41–45.
- [16] W. Orellana, A. J. R. da Silva, A. Fazzio, Diffusion-reaction mechanisms of nitriding species in SiO₂, Phys. Rev. B 70 (2004) 125206.
- [17] W. Guo, Y. Jia, K. Tian, Z. Xu, J. Jiao, R. Li, Y. Wu, L. Cao, H. Wang, UV-triggered self-healing of a single robust SiO₂ microcapsule based on cationic polymerization for potential application in aerospace coatings, Appl. Mater. Interfaces 8 (2016) 21046–21054. doi: 10.1021/acsami.6b06091
- [18] F. S. Miranda, F. R. Caliari, T. M. Campos, A. M. Essiptchouk, G. P. Filho, Deposition of graded SiO₂/SiC coatings using high-velocity solution plasma spray, Ceramics International 43 (2017) 16416–16423. doi: 10.1016/j.ceramint.2017.09.018
- [19] A. G. Gaydon, I. R. Hurle, The shock tube in hightemperature chemical physics, 23–28, Reinhold Publishing Corporation, New York, (1963).
- [20] A. Thogersen, J. H. Selj, E. S. Marstein, Oxidation effects on graded porous silicon anti-reflection coatings, J. Electrochem. Soc. 159 (5) (2012) D276-D281.
- [21] D. C. Park, T. Yano, S. H. Kim, W. Y. Choi, J. H. Cho, Surface characterization of the milled-silicon nitride nano powders by XPS and TEM, Key Engg. Mater. 326-328 (2006) 409–412, doi: 10.4028/www.scientific.net/KEM.326-328.409.
- [22] A. L. Gerrard, J. J. Chen, J. F. Weaver, Oxidation of nitride Si (100) by gaseous atomic and molecular oxygen, J. Phys. Chem. B, 109 (2005) 8017–8028.
- [23] Y. Hijikata, H. Yaguchi, M. Yoshikawa, S. Yoshida, Composition analysis of SiO₂/SiC interfaces by electron spectroscopic measurements using slope-shaped oxide films, Applied Surface Science 184 (2001) 161–166.

- [24] M. P. Albano, L. B. Garrido, Processing of concentrated aqueous silicon nitride slips by slip casting, J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 81 (4) (1998) 837–844.
- [25] Y. Gu, L. Chen, Y. Qian, Low-temperature synthesis of nanocrystalline α -Si₃N₄ powders by the reaction of Mg₂Si with NH₄Cl, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 87 (2004) 1810-1813.
- [26] Y. Xu, X. Zhu, H. D. Lee, C. Xu, S. M. Shubeita, A. C. Ahyi, Y. Sharma, J. R. Williams, W. Lu, S. Ceesay, B. R. Tuttle, A. Wan, S. T. Pantelides, T. Gustafsson, E. L. Garfunkel, L. C. Feldman, Atomic state and characterization of nitrogen at the SiC/SiO₂ interface, J. Appl. Phys. 115 (2014) 033502.
- [27] B. Viswanathan, K. R. Krishnamurthy, Nitrogen incorporation in TiO₂: Does it make a visible light photo-active material? Intl. J. Photoenergy (2012) 269654. doi: 10.1155/2012/269654
- [28] S. H. Overbury, D. R. Mullins, D. R. Huntley, LJ. Kundakovic, Chemisorption and reaction of NO and N₂O on oxidized and reduced ceria surfaces studied by soft X-ray photoemission spectroscopy and desorption spectroscopy, J. Catalysis 186 (1999) 296–309.
- [29] S. Kojiro, F. Kazuhisa, A. Takashi, Chemical nonequilibrium viscous shock-layer analysis over ablating surface of superorbital re-entry capsule, The Institute of Space and Astronautical Science, Report SP No. 17, (2003) 24–41.