**American Journal of Chemistry and Application** 2018; 5(1): 1-7 http://www.aascit.org/journal/ajca ISSN: 2375-3765





# Keywords

Synthetic Gas, Hydrocarbon, Synthesis, Chain Growth, Catalysts

Received: April 30, 2017 Accepted: July 24, 2017 Published: January 4, 2018

# Hydrocarbon Distribution of a Catalytic Process - The Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis

# Innocent Oseribho Oboh<sup>\*</sup>, Okechukwu Raphael Amaraegbu

Department of Chemical and Petroleum Engineering, University of Uyo, Uyo, Nigeria

## **Email address**

innocentoboh@uniuyo.edu.ng (I. O. Oboh) \*Corresponding author

# Citation

Innocent Oseribho Oboh, Okechukwu Raphael Amaraegbu. Hydrocarbon Distribution of a Catalytic Process - The Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis. *American Journal of Chemistry and Application*. Vol. 5, No. 1, 2018, pp. 1-7.

## Abstract

Fischer–Tropsch synthesis (FTS) is a catalytic process that can be used to produce hydrocarbons, oxygenates and H<sub>2</sub>O among other products from synthesis gas, which can be derived from natural gas, coal, or biomass. It is a key component in Gas-to-Liquid (GTL), Biomass-to-Liquid (BTL) and Coal-to-Liquid (CTL) technology. The goal of the proposed work described in this Final Report was to show the distribution of the major product (hydrocarbon) with carbon number ranging from 1-37 assuming ideal kinetics of Anderson-Schulz-Flory (ASF) distribution model. The distributions of FT hydrocarbon product have best been described by Anderson-Schulz-Flory distribution model. The formation of long chain hydrocarbon product will depend on increasing chain growth probability and that the maximum selectivity of gasoline and diesel range products were 46% and 29% respectively. The selectivity of FT products as described by Anderson-Schulz–Flory (ASF) distribution model is a one parameter factor and does not correspond to the distribution for all ranges of hydrocarbon products as deviations has been reported in literatures for hydrocarbon product yield of  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  and products with high molecular weight.

# **1. Introduction**

Crude oil derived fuels have for a long time dominated as a source of transport fuels. Crude oil is a finite and non-renewable resource, and in recent years there has been growing concern about the depleting crude oil reserves. The world's proven reserves of natural gas and coal are much larger than the proven reserves of crude oil. At the end of 1999 the world's proven reserves of crude oil was  $140 \times 10^9$  tons, the proven reserves of natural gas was  $146 \times 10^{12}$  m<sup>3</sup> which is  $132 \times 10^9$  tons oil equivalent and coal 984 x  $10^9$  tons which is  $656 \times 10^9$  tons oil equivalent [1]. As a promising route for the production of liquid fuels with high cetane number with little or no sulphur that could be blended with diesel fuel, petrochemicals and lubricant from the abundant natural gas, coal and biomass reserves.

FT (Fischer–Tropsch) process is a set of catalytic processes for the conversion of synthesis gases into a mixture of products that can be refined to produce synthetic fuels, lubricants and petrochemicals as can be seen in Figure 1 [2-3].

FTS is a particularly complex system, where you have a number of different reactions combined into a single mechanism which is the irreversible Fischer-Tropsch reaction. Two phases (vapour and liquid) of hydrocarbons are formed during the synthesis. The lighter components preferentially accumulate in the vapour phase while the heavy oils

and waxes in the liquid phase [4].

The FTS plays a major part in the group of processes called Gas-to-Liquid technologies (GTL). GTL involve the conversion of natural gas, biomass or coal into synthetic crude oil that can be further refined and separated into different fractions of useful hydrocarbons, including liquid fuels [5-6].



Figure 1. Scheme of FT process [2].

Diesel fuels produced from these processes exhibit outstanding properties compared to diesel fuel derived from crude oil; very high cetane number (typically above 70) and virtually no sulphur, nitrogen and aromatics resulting in reduced pollutants from the engine [7]. Numerous studies have investigated the FT process in different aspects [8-15].

#### 2. Historical Background

Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis has been traced back to catalytic hydrogenation reaction which began in the early 1820s when Dobereiner discovered that finely divided platinum caused hydrogen to burn spontaneously in air. Decades later, scientists began investigating the catalytic properties of a wide range of metals; their focus was on hydrogenation and hydrogen production from hydrocarbons [16]. However, in 1902, Sabatier and Senderans developed a number of catalysts for hydrogenation of vapour phase organic compound. They studied the synthesis of methane by hydrogenation of CO and CO<sub>2</sub> over metal catalyst at high temperature and atmospheric pressure which can be said to be a precursor of FTS [17].

As early as 1923, Franz Fischer and Hans Tropsch at the time worked at the Kaiser Wihelm institute in Germany invented the FT reaction in petroleum poor but coal rich Germany. It was used by the Germans during the Second World War to produce alternative fuels. Though Fischer and Tropsch made an important breakthrough but it was not until the late 1920s that many Chemical Engineering problems associated with FT reactions were tackled by scientists, and a series of reactors were developed for FT process [2], [18-21].

#### **3. Fischer Tropsch Synthesis**

Fischer-Tropsch synthesis is a collection of chemical reactions that convert specific mixture of carbon monoxide and hydrogen into liquid hydrocarbon. It is a key component of gas to liquid technology and involves a series of chemical reactions that could yield various hydrocarbons. Two main features of Fischer-Tropsch process are the unavoidable ranges of hydrocarbon product and the liberation of a large amount of heat from the highly exothermic synthesis reaction [22]. FTS has been classified as Low temperature (LTFT) and High temperature (HTFT) which operates at temperature below 250°C and above 250°C respectively.

#### 3.1. Chemistry of Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis

The chemistry of FTS consists of a wide variety of linear and branched hydrocarbons and oxygenates products. Although, the major products are linear paraffins and olefins.

Major FT reactions are:

$$nCO + 2nH_2 \rightarrow -(CH_2)_n - +H_2O, \Delta H = -165kJ/mol$$
(1)

Temperature ranges from 473 - 573 °C, while Pressure ranges from 25 - 40 bar [1].

$$nCO + (2n+1) H_2 \rightarrow C_n H_{2n+2} + nH_2O$$
 (2)

(Paraffins)

$$nCO + 2nH_2 \rightarrow C_nH_{2n} + nH_2O$$
(3)

(Olefins)

$$nCO + 2nH_2 \rightarrow C_nH_{2n+1}OH + (n-1)H_2O$$
 (4)

(Alcohol)

The water-Gas-shift reaction occurs during the process to adjust the  $H_2/CO$  ratio.

The WGS reaction is given as

$$CO + H_2O \rightarrow CO_2 + H_2$$
 (5)

However, it has been discovered that  $CO_2$  may be a significant component in the synthesis gas. Although the need for  $CO_2$  separation before using the synthesis gas in FTS is mentioned in patent literature for some cases, with biomass derived synthetic gas; they could be a potential cost advantage if  $CO_2$  is not removed before the synthesis step in which case the effect of  $CO_2$  on preferably a Co-catalyst under low temperature FTS conditions can be investigated [23].

Also, competing reaction in FTS is the Boudouard reaction which leads to the formation of coke, given as:

$$2CO \rightarrow C_s + CO_2$$
 (6)

During FTS, catalyst modification may occur resulting in the following reactions:

catalyst oxidation/reduction

$$1: M_a O_b + bH_2 \rightleftharpoons bH_2 O + aM$$

$$2: M_a O_b + bCO \rightleftharpoons bCO_2 + aM$$
(7)

Bulk carbide formation

$$bC + aM \rightleftharpoons M_aC_b$$
 (8)

#### 3.2. FT Mechanism

This has been a subject of investigation for many years. Details of the chemical steps that takes place during FT synthesis still remains a contentious topic since several competing reactions with almost equal probabilities are likely to occur during FT synthesis, therefore reducing the selectivity of the desired products likely to occur during FT synthesis [24].

FTS has been recognised as a polymerization reaction with the basic steps.

- a) CO adsorption on the catalyst surface.
- b) Chain initiation by CO dissociation followed by hydrogenation.
- c) Chain growth by insertion of additional CO molecules followed by hydrogenation.
- d) Chain termination.
- e) Product desorption from catalyst surface.

Although the product distribution demonstrates the polymerization character of the Fischer-Tropsch synthesis, a great deal of controversy still exists on the chemical identity of the monomeric building block and in relation to the growing hydrocarbon chain [1].

Hence, the following mechanisms are regarded as FT major reaction mechanism.

- (i) The CO insertion mechanism.
- (ii) The oxygenates (enol) mechanism.
- (iii) The carbide and alkyl mechanism.
- (iv) The Vinyl/alkenyl mechanism.

#### 3.3. FT Catalyst

When the FTS process was discovered by Franz Fisher and Hans Tropsch in 1923, an iron catalyst was used to facilitate the conversion of syngas (CO +  $H_2$ ) to liquid fuels. Other catalysts such as cobalt, nickel and ruthenium have also been shown to be catalytically active in FTS.

Most group VIII metals have been noted to be suitable for FTS. It has been reported in literatures that the average molecular weight of hydrocarbon produced by FTS decreases as follows Ru>Co>Fe>Rh>Ni and in terms of cost Fe: Ni: Co: Ru is 1:250:1000:50000, also reported are typical ranges of probability chain growth ( $\alpha$ ) on Ru, Co, and Fe of: 0.85-0.95, 0.70-0.80, and 0.50-0.70 respectively [16].

#### 3.4. Fischer-Tropsch Reactor

One of the challenges with FTS is the removal of excess heat generated by the reactions. Inadequate heat removal leads to localized overheating which results in high carbon deposition leading to catalyst deactivation. For a large scale commercial FTS reactors, heat removal and temperature control are most important design features to obtain optimum product selectivity and long catalyst lifetimes [25]. However, FTS has been carried out in the following reactors.

- (a) Fixed Bed FT Reactor
- (b) Fluidized Bed FT Reactor
- (c) Slurry Phase FT reactor

#### 3.5. Kinetics of Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis

The kinetics of FTS has been studied under various catalysts and varies from one catalyst to another. The complexity of the FT reaction mechanism and the large number of species involved is the major problem for development of reliable kinetic expressions.

#### 3.6. Factors That Affects Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis

The study of FTS under different conditions has been influenced by various factors in which studies have shown could affect the product composition. The following factors affects FTS among others:

- a) presence of H<sub>2</sub>O
- b) H<sub>2</sub>/CO composition in the feed Gas
- c) presence  $CO_2$  in feed Gas
- d) presence of  $N_2$  in the Feed Gas
- e) Temperature
- f) Pressure
- g) preesence of Active Metals

#### 4. Methodology

This is essentially a descriptive work meant to explore and explain the behaviour of hydrocarbon products in Fischer-Tropsch synthesis. However, the model that describe the hydrocarbon products distribution in Fischer-Tropsch synthesis was collected and validated by work presented by the following authors; Arno Klerk [26], Donnelly, *et al* [27], Jin, *et al* [28], Xiaojun [2], Sinee Kraokaw [29].

The distribution of FT products follows the Anderson – Schultz-Flory (ASF) polymerization model. According to the ASF model, the carbon number distribution of the products is a function of the chain growth probability ( $\alpha$ ) at the surface of the catalyst [30]. Anderson-Schulz-Flory (ASF) distribution obtained from literatures is expressed as:

$$M_n = \frac{W_n}{n} = (1 - \alpha) \alpha^{n-1}$$
(9)

Where:

 $M_n$  = Molar fraction of hydrocarbon molecules/Carbon atom

 $W_n$  = Weight fraction of hydrocarbon molecules

n = number of carbon atoms

 $\alpha$  = chain growth probability or a probability that a molecule will continue reacting to form a longer chain.

Maximum selectivity of LPG, gasoline and diesel range product was computed noting the following assumptions:

- (1) that the ASF distribution follows ideal kinetics.
- (2) C<sub>5</sub>-C<sub>11</sub> hydrocarbon products boils at a temperature of gasoline products.
- (3) C<sub>12</sub>-C<sub>20</sub> hydrocarbon products boils at a temperature of diesel products.

# **5. Results and Discussion**

#### 5.1. Results



Figure 2. ASF distribution for n ranging from 1-.



Figure 3. ASF distribution for n ranging from 7-15.



Figure 4. ASF distribution for n ranging from 16-23.



Figure 5. ASF distribution for n ranging from 24-37.

The ASF distribution obtained here which was the plot of the molar fraction of hydrocarbon molecules per carbon atom against chain growth probability.

### 5.2. Discussion

Figures 2, 3, 4 and 5 shows the distribution of FT

hydrocarbon products from carbon number of 1-6, 7-15, 16-23 and 24-37 respectively and in an ascending order of carbon atom.

However, from Figures 2-5, it is observable that the selective synthesis of a product with a narrow range of chain lengths is not possible, except for methane when  $\alpha$  equals 0 or

for infinite chain length if  $\alpha$  equals 1, the selectivity to a certain product or product range will always be limited [2]. It is also clear from Figure 2 that the largest single product will always be methane so long as  $\alpha < 0.5$  since it corresponds to a carbon number of 1. This may confirm why the use of catalyst and operating at conditions which could yield a chain growth probability that is above 0.5 had been in use. Clearly shown here is that the selectivity of product will favour the production of methane as a single product among wide range of products

that can be obtained at that probability chain growth.

Also, Figure 2 shows that by increasing  $\alpha$ , the total amount of methane formed can be minimized compared to the sum of all of the various long-chained products which shows that increasing  $\alpha$  favors the formation of long-chained hydrocarbons which supports the definition of  $\alpha$  [7].

Assuming ideal ASF kinetics, the maximum distribution of FT reaction major products from the ASF plot is summarized as given in the Table 1 below:

Carbon number	General name	$\alpha = 0.5$	$\alpha = 0.6$	$\alpha = 0.7$	$\alpha = 0.8$	$\alpha = 0.9$	
$C_2-C_4$	LPG	56.5%	50.3%	38.1%	22.3%	7.1%	
C <sub>5</sub> -C <sub>11</sub>	Gasoline range	18.4%	31.6%	44.3%	46.1%	25.3%	
$C_{12}$ - $C_{20}$	Diesel range	0.4%	4.4%	10%	19.1%	29.3%	

 Table 1. Maximum distribution of FT product.

Following information presented in Table 1, the selectivity of methane decreases as  $\alpha$  increases, while for gasoline range products, selectivity increases gradually till  $\alpha = 0.8$  where the selectivity is observed to decrease while the selectivity of diesel range product increases till  $\alpha = 0.9$ .

Maximum selectivity of gasoline range product and diesel range product obtained by Jin and Yongwu are approximately 45% and 30% respectively while that obtained by Xiaojun [2] is approximately 43% and 28% respectively. Clearly shown here is that the maximum selectivity of gasoline range product and diesel range product are 46% and 29% at  $\alpha = 0.8$  and 0.9 respectively. Considering the catalyst that are applicable in FTS and knowing the maximum chain growth probability, it is noticeable that Ru will result in the production of heavy distillate FT product (waxes), Co favours the production of gasoline and diesel range product and Fe will favour the formation of LPG and gasoline range products.

Although the distribution of FT hydrocarbon products is best described by this model, deviations have been reported in literatures that  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  never fits the ASF distribution. Methane is generally higher than predicted while  $C_2$  is lower [31]. Also the distribution of FT product for carbon number above 30 since they include heavy waxes which are undesireable in FTS cannot be predicted by ASF model [32]. Selectivity for longer chain products is governed by the ability of a catalyst to preferentially enhance the rate of chain propagation above that of chain termination.

The ASF distribution leads to a gradual decrease in selectivity of hydrocarbon as shown in Figures 2-5. An increase in carbon number (n), will limit the formation of desired middle distillates (diesel range product) to favour the formation of heavy waxes.

# 6. Conclusion

FTS hydrocarbon products distribution is a one parameter factor known as the chain growth probability which depends on several factor that makes it difficult to control. ASF distribution does not show different hydrocarbon product type such as parraffin and olefin, neither does it practically corresponds to every hydrocarbon distribution for all carbon number. Selectivity of range of hydrocarbon product such as diesel or gasoline was obtained by considering selectivity of hydrocarbon products with specific carbon number atoms in such product range.

The main challenge in Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis is maximizing the selectivity of desired product. Also, the polymerization-like nature of FTS is a strong limitations on efforts to maximize desired products

#### References

- Henricus Adrianus, Johannes Van Dijk, (2001)."A Fischer Tropsch synthesis: A mechanistic study using transient Isotopic tracing". A thesis for a Doctor of philosophy, Department of Chemical Engineering, Technische Universiteit Eindhoven.
- [2] Xiaojun Lu (2011), Fishcer –Tropsch Synthesis: Towards understanding. Ph.D thesis in University of Witwatersand, Johannesburg.
- [3] Dry, M. E. (2004). "Present and future applications of the Fischer–Tropsch process". Applied Catalysis A: General, 276 (1–2), pp. 1–3.
- [4] Muleja, A. A., Yao, Y., Glasser, D., Hildebrandt, D. (2016). "A study of Fischer-Tropsch synthesis: Product distribution of the light hydrocarbons". Applied Catalysis A: General, 517, pp. 217–226.
- [5] Yang, L., Ge, X., Wan, C., F., and Li, T. (2014). "Progress and perspectives in converting biogas to transportation fuels". Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 40, pp. 1133– 1152.
- [6] Onel, O., Niziolek, A. M. Elia, J. A., Baliban, R. C., Floudas, C. A. (2015). "Biomass and Natural Gas to Liquid Transportation Fuels and Olefins (BGTL+C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>4</sub>): Process Synthesis and Global Optimization". Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 54 (1), pp. 359–385.
- [7] Bouchy C, G. Hastoy, E. Guillon and J. A. Martens (2009). "Fischer-Tropsch Waxes upgrading via Hydrocracking and selective Hydro isomerization" Oil & Gas science and technology, vol 64, p. 91-112.
- [8] Fu. T., Lv. J., Li. Z., (2014). "Effect of Carbon Porosity and Cobalt Particle Size on the Catalytic Performance of Carbon Supported Cobalt Fischer–Tropsch Catalysts". Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 53, 1342–50.

- [9] Adib. H., Haghbakhsh, R., Saidi, M., Takassi, M. A., Sharifi, F., Koolivand, M., Rahimpour, M. R., and Keshtari, S. (2013). "Modeling and optimization of Fischer–Tropsch synthesis in the presence of Co (III)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst using artificial neural networks and genetic algorithm". J. Nat. Gas. Sci. Eng. 10, 14–24.
- [10] Khakdaman, H. R., Sadaghiani, K., (2007). "Separation of catalyst particles and wax from effluent of a Fischer-Tropsch slurry reactor using supercritical hexane". Chemical Engineering Research and Design, 85 (A2), 263–268.
- [11] Bayat, M., Hamidi, M., Dehghani, Z., Rahimpour, M. R., Shariati, A., (2013). "Sorption-enhanced reaction process in Fischer–Tropsch synthesis for production of gasoline and hydrogen: Mathematical modeling". J. Nat. Gas. Sci. Eng. 14, 225–237.
- [12] Chiang. S-W., Chang. C-C., Shie, J-L., Chang, C-Y., Ji, DR., Tseng, J-Y., (2012). "Synthesis of alcohols and alkanes over potassium and vanadium promoted molybdenum carbides". J. Taiwan. Inst. Chem E. 43, 918-925.
- [13] Hemmati, M. R., Kazemeini, M., Khorasheh, F., Zarkesh, J., (2013). "Investigating the effect of calcination repetitions on the lifetime of Co/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts in Fischer–Tropsch synthesis utilising the precursor's solution affinities". J. Taiwan. Inst. Chem. E 44, 205–213.
- [14] Feyzi, M., Khodaei, M. M., Shahmoradi, J., (2014). "Effect of sulfur on the catalytic performance of Fe–Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts for light olefins production". J. Taiwan. Inst. Chem. E, 45, 452 –460.
- [15] Rahimpour, M. R., Jokar, S. M., Jamshidnejad, Z., (2012). "A novel slurry bubble column membrane reactor concept for Fischer–Tropsch synthesis in GTL technology". Chem. Eng. Res. design. 90, 383–396.
- [16] Mahluli Moyo (2012)., "Cobalt and Iron Supported on Carbon Spheres Catalysts for Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis". A thesis for a Doctor of philosophy, University of Witwatersand, Johannesburg.
- [17] Satya P. Chauhan and Michael J. Murphy, "Status of technology for producing Fischer-Tropsch fuel from coal and Natural Gas". Fischer-Tropsch fuels for Turbine applications workshop Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. May 9-10, 2006.
- [18] Steynberg, A. P., Espinoza, R. L., Jager, B., Vosloo, A. C., (1999). "High temperature Fischer–Tropsch synthesis in commercial practice". Applied Catalysis A: General, 186 (1– 2), pp. 41–54.
- [19] Dry, M. E., (2002). "The Fischer–Tropsch process: 1950– 2000". Catalysis Today, 71 (3–4), pp. 227–241.
- [20] Davis, B., (2005). "Fischer–Tropsch synthesis: Overview of reactor development and future potentialities". Topics in Catalysis, 32 (3–4), pp. 143–168.

- [21] Elbashir, N. O., Bao, B. & El-Halwagi, M. M., (2009). "An approach to the design of advanced Fischer-Tropsch reactor for operation in near-critical and supercritical phase media". In Advances in gas processing: proceedings of the 1st annual symposium on gas processing symposium. Elsevier Amsterdam. The Netherlands, pp. 423–433.
- [22] Mohd Zabidi (2012). "Synthesis of Nanocatalysts via Reverse Micro Emulsion Route of fischer-Tropsch Reaction". Microemulsion, pp 215-228, Intech publisher.
- [23] Yali Yao, Diane Hildebrandt, David Glasser and Xinying Liu (2010). "Fischer-Tropsch synthesis using  $H_2$ /CO/CO<sub>2</sub> Syngas mixture over a cobalt catalyst". Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 49, 11061-11066.
- [24] John J. Marano and Jared P. Ciferno (2001). "Life-Cycle Green house-Gas Emissions Inventory for Fischer-Tropsch Fuels", U. S. Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory
- [25] Kurt House (2006). "Fischer Tropsch Synthesis; The economic of Fischer-Tropsch", In: DOE report on gas to liquids and coal to liquid technology & an interview with two Fischer-Tropsch Engineers, September.
- [26] Arno De Klerk, (2011). "Fischer-Tropsch Refining; Fischer-Tropsch synthesis". John Wiley Publisher, Weinheim, Germany pg. 73-95. ISBN: 978-3-527-32605-1
- [27] Donnelly, T. J., Satterfield, C. N (1989), "Product Distributions of the Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis on Precipitated Iron Catalysts". Appl Catal 52 (1): 93–114 59.
- [28] Jin Hu, Fei Yu and Yongwu Lu (2012)."Application of Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis in Biomass to liquid conversion". Catalysts, 2, pg. 303-326. ISSN: 2073-4344.
- [29] Sinee Kraokaw (2009). "Selective Production of Higher Hydrocarbons over Cobalt Support SBA-15 Mesoporous Silica Catalysts", Master Thesis, Kasetsart University.
- [30] Yijun Lu and Theo Lee (2007). "Influence of the Feed Gas Composition on the Fischer – Tropsch Synthesis in Commercial Operations". A Journal of Natural Gas Chemistry 16; p. 329-341.
- [31] Slim Menzli, (2008). "Water Footprint of Aviation fuel synthesis by the Fischer-Tropsch process using sugar cane waste and land fill Gas as Feedstocks; master thesis at the University of central Florida, Orlando Florida.
- [32] Ronald Martijn De Deugd (2004). "Fischer synthesis revisited; efficiency and selectivity Benefits from imposing temporal and/or spatial structure in the reactor". A thesis for a Doctor of philosophy, Delft University of Technology, Delft, Netherlands.