



International Journal of  
**Agricultural Sciences  
and Natural Resources**

### Keywords

Evaluation,  
Natural Disaster,  
Effects,  
Farmers,  
Agricultural Practice

Received: April 22, 2014

Revised: June 03, 2014

Accepted: June 04, 2014

# An evaluation of the effects of natural disasters on agricultural practices by farmers in Kogi State, Nigeria

Daluba, Noah Ekeyi

Department of Vocational and Technical Education, Faculty of Education, Kogi State University, Anyigba

### Email address

Sirdalus@yahoo.com

### Citation

Daluba, Noah Ekeyi. An Evaluation of the Effects of Natural Disasters on Agricultural Practices by Farmers in Kogi State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources*. Vol. 1, No. 2, 2014, pp. 24-30.

### Abstract

The study examined the effects of natural disasters on agricultural practices by farmers in Kogi State. Four (4) research questions 1. Which of the following are the major forms of natural disasters common in Kogi State? 2. What are the causative agents of the common natural disasters in Kogi State? 3. What effects has natural disasters on agricultural practice by farmers and the general environment in Kogi State? 4. What are the measures to be taken to combat natural disasters in Kogi State? based on the four (4) specific objectives 1. Determine the major forms of natural disaster in Kogi State. 2. Determine the causative agents of natural disasters in Kogi State. 3. Examine the major effects of natural disasters on agricultural practice by farmers in Kogi State. 4. Determine the measures to be taken to ameliorate the incidence of natural disasters on agricultural practice by farmers in Kogi State. and one (1) hypothesis: There is no significant difference between the responses of male and female subjects on the effects of natural disaster on agricultural practices by farmers in Kogi State. guided the study. A descriptive survey research design was used for the study. Four hundred and twenty (420) which was made up of 315 males and 105 females were randomly sampled and used for the study from the twenty – one Local Government Areas that make up Kogi State which is the study area. A 41 – item questionnaire designed by the researcher and validated by experts was used for data collection. Personal observation and visitation to sites was also employed in gathering data for the study. The collected data was analyzed using frequency counts, mean, standard deviation and t-test statistics. The study revealed that flooding, wildfire hazard, overgrazing, disease outbreak and wind storms are major forms of natural disaster in Kogi State; Natural disasters arise from geological factors, destruction of natural barriers and climatic factors and natural disaster majorly affect agricultural practice and the environment. Community wide awareness and education programs on natural disasters through effective campaign strategies; priority need to be given to support research that has bearing with disasters; the period for dam evacuation should be shifted and the need for historical studies as a way of helping to inform the development of appropriate methodologies for the assessment of future disaster among others were proffered as useful recommendations for curbing natural disaster in the state.

## 1. Introduction

Before the Nigerian civil war of 1967, agriculture was known to have contributed immensely to 50 percent of the nation's gross domestic product (GDP), served as the major source of food security, accounted for over 70 percent of the foreign exchange earnings, supplied raw – materials to agro – allied industries and accommodated profitably to over 70 percent of the labour force (employment). Because of these, agriculture has been known to have immeasurable role to the total existence of man (Oluwasanmi, 1996).

As a step towards the improvement of the in measurable roles of agriculture, Nigerian government has at several occasions introduced and implemented a number of fiscal policies and programs. Some of these notable programs and policies include, Operation Feed the Nation (OFN), Green Revolution (GR), River Basin Development Authorities (RBDAS), Directorate of Food, Roads and Rural Infrastructure (DFRRI) and Bank to Land (BL). Each of these, were charged with specific responsibilities of which the major one among others was to improve the food security situation of the nation. Upon all these efforts by the government, food insecurity still prevails thus threatening the life and health of millions of Nigerians (Mkpado; Onuoha & Uduma, 2011).

The prevailing state of food insecurity may be as a result of the complex nature of agriculture. According to Bryant and Johnston (1992), agriculture is a complex system within which changes are driven by the joint effects of economic, environmental, political and social forces. Agriculture is known to be sensitive to climatic conditions and also vulnerable to weather and climate risks. World Bank (1997) estimated that about three quarter of the extreme poor currently live in rural areas and depend on agriculture and related activities for their livelihood. The implication is that, low agricultural productivity combined with extreme poverty results thus making the population living in Nigeria the most vulnerable to natural disasters. These natural disasters constrain development as there are disruption of economic activity and diversion of government funds towards its control.

Natural disasters are natural events that threaten lives, properties and other assets. Apart from occurring naturally, they are likely to have effects on people or the environment. Many of these natural disasters are interrelated and inter-temporally correlated and can cause tsunamis and drought which can lead directly to famine or population displacement (Wikipedia, 2003). They can be predicted and tend to occur repeatedly in the same geographical locations because they are related to weather patterns or physical characteristics of an area. Most of these natural disasters have caused major loss of human lives and livelihood, the destruction of economic and social infrastructure, as well as environmental damages. Hoppe (2007) in support ascertained that millions of dollars are lost to the control of natural disasters resulting from

different factors. Losses from natural disasters have increased dramatically.

Some of the common natural disasters are floods, drought, wildfire, disease, earthquake, tornado, windstorms etc. Most of these natural disasters are products of geological, environmental degradation, destruction of natural barriers, climatic, atmospheric and unforeseen factors. These major factors contribute immensely to the vulnerability of agriculture, forestry and rangelands.

The economic impacts of natural disasters are greater and more pronounced in poorer nations in which Nigeria is inclusive (UNISDR, 2003). Ironically, an attempt to address the impact of these natural disasters on agricultural sector has not received due attention it deserves by policy makers. The existence of this, over time will have a devastating effect on agricultural practices by Nigerian farmers in general and Kogi State in particular. The question now is, which of these factors aggravate natural disasters most and to what extent has this affected agricultural practices in Kogi State?

## 2. Purpose of the Study

The main purpose of the study is to examine the effects of natural disasters on agricultural practices in Kogi State, Nigeria. Specifically, the study sought to:

1. Determine the major forms of natural disaster in Kogi State.
2. Determine the causative agents of natural disasters in Kogi State.
3. Examine the major effects of natural disasters on agricultural practice by farmers in Kogi State.
4. Determine the measures to be taken to ameliorate the incidence of natural disasters on agricultural practice by farmers in Kogi State.

## 3. Research Questions

Based on the purpose of the study, the following research questions were formulated:-

1. Which of the following are the major forms of natural disasters common in Kogi State?
2. What are the causative agents of the common natural disasters in Kogi State?
3. What effects has natural disasters on agricultural practice by farmers and the general environment in Kogi State?
4. What are the measures to be taken to combat natural disasters in Kogi State?

## 4. Hypothesis

One null hypothesis was formulated and tested at 0.05 level of significance as follows:

There is no significant difference between the responses of male and female subjects on the effects of natural disaster on agricultural practices by farmers in Kogi State.

## 5. Methodology

The study employed a descriptive survey research design. The study covered all the twenty – one (21) local government areas in Kogi State. The study population comprises of the male and female subjects in Kogi State. Twenty (20) subjects of fifteen (15) males and five (5) females were randomly picked or selected from each of the 21 local government areas. This gives a total of 420 subjects as the sample size for the study.

Using four point Likert Scale of Strongly Agree (SA); Agree (A); Disagree (DA) and Strongly Disagree (SD) representing 4, 3, 2 and 1 point respectively, a 41 – item questionnaire was designed and used for the study by the researcher. The designed questionnaire for the study was divided into two (2) sections (A – B). Section A was used to collect personal data about the respondents while section B which was divided into four different components of B<sub>1</sub>, B<sub>2</sub>, B<sub>3</sub> and B<sub>4</sub> was made up of six; six; seventeen and twelve items was used to address the four (4) research questions that guided the study.

The drafted questionnaire items were subjected to validation by four experts of which two are agricultural specialists and two are specialist in measurement and evaluation. The four validators were drawn from Kogi State University, Anyigba and University of Nigeria, Nsukka. Forty (40) copies of the questionnaire were trial tested using test re-test method on forty (40) subjects in Benue State to determine the reliability of the instrument.

Using Cronbach alpha, a reliability index of 0.83 was obtained.

Four hundred and twenty (420) copies of the questionnaire were administered by the researcher with the help of ten (10) other trained research assistants. All the administered questionnaire were correctly filled and returned. In addition to the questionnaire, personal observation and visitation to some sites in the state previously prone to natural disasters were also done by the researcher.

The data generated in the cause of the study, was analyzed using frequency counts, mean and standard deviation while the only null hypothesis that guided the study was analyzed using t-test statistics.

## 6. Decision Rule

Any item of the questionnaire that recorded mean score of 2.50 and above is regarded as been significant while those below 2.50 are insignificant for consideration.

## 7. Results

From the study, the following results emerged:

### 7.1. Research Question 1

Which of the following are the major forms of natural disasters common in Kogi State?

*Table 1. Table showing different forms of natural disasters N=420*

S/NO	Description of items	SA4	A3	DA2	SD1	ΣFx	$\bar{X}$	SD
1	Flooding	251	129	26	4	1477	3.52	0.503
2	Cyclones	0	0	4	416	420	1.00	0.142
3	Overgrazing	184	124	101	15	1309	3.12	0.445
4	Wild fire hazard	180	121	116	4	1355	3.23	0.461
5	Wind storms	192	113	95	20	1317	3.14	0.449
6	Drought	250	130	25	5	1475	3.51	0.501
7	Disease outbreak	194	125	81	10	1323	3.15	0.450

SA: Strongly Agree, A: Agree, DA: Disagree, SD: Strongly Disagree.

Table 1 shows that items 1, 3, 4, 5 and 6 recorded mean scores ( $\bar{x}$ ) above 2.50 signifying that these forms of natural disaster are common in Kogi State. The recorded standard deviation is between 0.142 – 0.503. Item 2 with means score ( $\bar{x}$ ) of 1.00 is below the cut-off point of 2.50

indicating that it is not a common natural disaster in Kogi State. Item 1 and 6 which is flooding and drought recorded the highest mean scores ( $\bar{x}$ ) of 3.52 and 3.51 showing that flooding and drought are the most commonest forms of natural disasters in Kogi State.

*Table 2. Causative agents of natural disaster in Kogi State N=420*

S/NO	Description of items	SA4	A3	DA2	SD1	ΣFx	$\bar{X}$	SD
1	Mode of settlement pattern	16	4	51	349	527	1.25	0.208
2	Geological factors (e.g. Nature of soil particles, topography etc)	101	96	211	9	1123	2.67	0.444
3	Environmental degradation (e.g. bush burning, overgrazing etc)	91	101	118	10	913	2.17	0.362
4	Destruction of natural barriers (e.g. opening of dams etc)	301	103	13	3	1542	3.67	0.613
5	Farm practices	71	68	201	80	970	2.31	0.385
6	Climatic factors (e.g. heavy rainfall, wind storms etc)	311	83	17	9	1519	3.62	0.603

### 7.2. Research Question 2

What are the causative agents of the common natural disasters in Kogi State?

Table 2 shows that items 2,4 and 6 recorded mean scores ( $\bar{x}$ ) of 2.67, 3.67 and 3.62 which are above the decision rule of 2.50 indicating that they are causative agents of natural disasters in Kogi State while items 1,3 and 5 recorded mean scores ( $\bar{x}$ ) of 1.25, 2.17 and 2.31 which are below the decision rule of 2.50 showing that they not significant causative agents of natural disaster in Kogi State. The table

also shows that the most causative agent of natural disaster is destruction of natural barriers like opening of dams which recorded the highest mean score ( $\bar{x}$ ) of 3.77 followed closely by that of climatic factors with mean score ( $\bar{x}$ ) of 3.62. The standard deviation recorded ranges between 0.208 to 0.613

### 7.3. Research Question 3

What effects has natural disaster on agricultural practice by farmers and the environment in Kogi State?

**Table 3.** Effects of natural disaster on agricultural practice by farmers and the environment in Kogi State N=420.

S/NO	Description of items	SA4	A3	DA2	SD1	$\Sigma F_x$	$\bar{x}$	SD
1	Water logging of farmlands	313	101	5	1	1566	3.73	0.219
2	Destruction of properties	309	107	2	2	1563	3.72	0.218
3	Renders people homeless	321	98	1	-	1580	3.76	0.221
4	Exposes aquatic animals e.g. fishes	292	121	3	4	1541	3.67	0.216
5	Seization of farmlands	321	86	10	3	1565	3.73	0.219
6	Increases government capital expenditure (overhead cost increases)	301	119	-	-	1561	3.72	0.218
7	Increase famine	226	127	53	14	1405	3.35	0.197
8	Increases cost of production	310	109	1	-	1569	3.74	0.220
9	Reduction of farmers' income	381	21	16	2	1621	3.86	0.227
10	Increases nutrient loss and thus leads to low crop yield	319	83	17	1	1560	3.71	0.218
11	Collapse of economic trees	201	181	30	8	1415	3.37	0.198
12	Collapse of farm structures	293	120	4	3	1543	3.67	0.216
13	Roads are eroded away thus hindering transportation of goods and services	313	104	2	1	1569	3.74	0.220
14	Total collapse of agricultural investment	299	101	15	5	1534	3.65	0.216
15	Loss of potential production due to disturbed flow of goods and services	311	107	2	-	1569	3.74	0.220
16	Make land unsuitable or infertile for agricultural production.	317	103	-	-	1577	3.94	0.232
17	Stress induced sickness reduce the life span of the farmers	303	99	17	1	1544	3.68	0.217

Table 3 shows that items 1-17 recorded mean scores ( $\bar{x}$ ) above the cut-off point of 2.50 indicating that all the items are regarded as effects of natural disasters on agricultural practice by farmers and the environment in Kogi State. Items 16 recorded the highest means score ( $\bar{x}$ ) of 3.94 showing that natural disaster makes the land unsuitable or

infertile for agricultural production while items 7 and 11 recorded low mean scores ( $\bar{x}$ ) of 3.35 and 3.37 respectively indicating that natural disaster does a lot of harm but less in the areas of increasing famine and collapse of economic trees. The standard deviation recorded is between 0.197 to 0.232 showing that the factors were very significant.

**Table 4.** Measures towards curbing natural disaster in Kogi State N=420.

S/NO	Variables	SA4	A3	DA2	SD1	$\Sigma F_x$	$\bar{x}$	SD
1	Educating people about flooding risks via the environmental management agency	303	111	4	2	1555	3.70	.308
2	Examining settlement rules/regulations	317	98	4	1	1571	3.74	.313
3	Intervention of weather forecasters	289	113	16	2	1529	3.64	.310
4	Creating of multiple water reservoirs to accommodate excess water release.	324	83	9	4	1567	3.73	.310
5	Intensifying the supervisory roles of urban and regional planning agency	313	102	4	1	1567	3.73	.310
6	Creating of channels as outlets for water release	302	109	9	2	1549	3.69	.307
7	Shifting the period of evaluation of dams from the rainy season period to dry season.	341	79	-	-	1601	3.81	.312
8	Effective campaign strategies through media	289	103	18	10	1511	3.60	.300
9	Purchasing flood insurance	301	113	0	2	1553	3.70	.307
10	Avoid living at a low lying area	296	121	2	1	1552	3.70	.307
11	Construction of barriers (leaves, beams, flood walls) to stop flood water	310	89	16	5	1544	3.68	.306
12	Seal walls in basement with water proofing compounds to avoid seepage.	299	87	31	3	1522	3.62	.302

#### 7.4. Research Question 4

What are the measures to be taken to combat natural disaster in Kogi State?

Table 4 shows that items 1 – 12 recorded high mean scores ( $\bar{x}$ ) above the cut off point of 2.50 indicating that all the items are useful measures of combating natural disasters in Kogi State based on the responses of the subjects. The mean scores ( $\bar{x}$ ) recorded was between 3.60 and 3.81. The standard deviation recorded were between

**Table 5.** T-test on the mean rating of male and female subjects on the effects of natural disaster on agricultural practices by farmers in Kogi State.

Variables	N	Mean value (x)	Standard deviation (SD)	t-cal	t-tab	df	p	Remarks
Male subjects	315	3.61	0.83					
Female subjects	105	3.46	0.79	0.562	1.960	418	0.05	No significant

No for Males = 315; Females = 105

The table above shows that the mean of male is 3.61 and their standard deviation (SD) is 0.83 while the female has mean value to be 3.46 and standard deviation of 0.79. The calculated table value (t-cal) is 0.562 as against table value (t-tab) of 1.960 at a degree of freedom (df) of 418. As the t-cal of 0.562 is less than t-tab of 1.960, it therefore means that no significant difference exist thus making the null hypothesis formulated to be upheld.

#### 7.6. Summary of major findings

The following major findings emerged from the study:-

1. The major forms of natural disaster in Kogi State are flooding; wildfire hazard; overgrazing; disease outbreak; drought and windstorms.
2. Natural disaster in Kogi State are caused by agents arising from geological factors, destruction of natural barriers (opening of dams) and climatic factors such as heavy rainfall and windstorms.
3. Natural disaster majorly affect agricultural practices by farmers and the environment by
  - reducing farmers' income
  - making land unsuitable for agricultural production
  - rendering people including farmers homeless.
  - increasing cost of production
  - causing erosion on roads and hinder transportation of goods and services.
  - leading to loss of potential production
  - leading to seizure of farmlands
  - causing water logging of farmlands.
  - causing of destruction of properties.
  - increasing government capital expenditure (over head cost).
  - leading to collapse of farm structures and agricultural investment
  - causing sudden stress and reduction in the life span of farmers and
  - promoting leaching of vital soil nutrients which will subsequently lead to decrease in crop yield.

0.300 and 0.313 showing that all the measures were very desirable in curbing the effect of natural disasters.

#### 7.5. Hypothesis

There is no significant difference between the responses of male and female subjects on the effects of natural disaster on agricultural practices by farmers in Kogi State.

The result of the hypothesis is as presented below:

1. Natural disasters can be controlled via
  - Effective campaign by the environmental management agency
  - Examining settlement rules and regulations
  - Creating multiple water reservoirs
  - Intensifying the supervisory roles of urban and regional planning agency.
  - Creating of channels as outlets for water release
  - Shifting period of dam evacuation to the dry season period.
  - Purchasing of flood insurance
  - Construction of barriers
  - Using of water proofing compounds to seal walls as to avoid seepage.
  - Using media as campaign strategies against natural disaster.
2. Male subjects opinion about natural disaster in Kogi State does not differ significantly from that of their female counterparts.
3. From personal observations and visitations, it has been seen that the most pronounced natural disaster is that from flooding which was very common along the river Niger and Benue in Lokoja, Idah and Ibaji areas of Kogi State. Apart from this, occurrence was also noticed in Kogi West of Kogi State which can be attributed to the clay nature of their soil.

#### 8. Discussion of Findings

Natural disasters occurred in Kogi State and they are in the form of flooding, wildfire, overgrazing, disease outbreak, wind storms and drought. The ones that are majorly pronounced and disrupt agricultural practice by farmers are flooding, drought and overgrazing. In support of this, Hoppe (2007) observed that the commonest natural disaster or hazards are drought, floods, wind storms, wild fires and they have caused major loss of human lives and

livelihood, the destruction of economic and social infrastructure, as well as environmental damages. Furthermore, Atanu (2011) out rightly stated that farmers farming activities for the past four years have been disrupted by serious flooding, overgrazing by farm animals and occasional existence of drought which has led to a draw back in farmers' turnover in terms of output and seizure of farmlands in some serious cases.

Natural disaster in Kogi State arises from combined factors of geological, destruction of natural barriers, climatic factors and Environmental degradation. In agreement with this, Udale (2012), observed and stated clearly that, man's activities as it relates to geological, destruction of natural barriers and general environmental interference has greatly aggravated the incidence of natural disaster in the state. He further added that, if the situation is not brought under control, agricultural activities will be jeopardized thus increasing the intensity of famine among most households in the state. In another dimension Davidson (2011) stated that due to incessant activities of man, a lot of destruction has been done to the environment leading to disequilibrium of the ecosystem and degradation of the environment which in excess cases results into soil nutrient loss and interference in agricultural practice by farmers. Udale (2013) in his study observed and stated that the existence of natural disasters will results into destruction and seizure of agricultural lands; destruction of farmers and non – farmers properties, increase the cost of transportation and production activities including collapse of farm structures which affect agricultural investment in developing countries where the source of control is far fetched.

In support As Das (2003) explained further that within hours of occurrence of natural disasters produce direct damage to agriculture in terms of total or partial destruction of farm buildings, installations, machinery, equipment, means of transport, storage as well as damage to crop land, irrigation works, dams, and destruction of crops ready for harvesting.

Following the serious damages done to the farmers and the environment, a lot of control major measures has been identified in the cause of this study as follows; campaign by the environmental management agency should be intensified, settlement pattern should follow rules and regulations, purchasing of flood insurance, creating of channels as outlets for water release and shifting of dam evacuation to the dry season period. Supporting this, Daniel (2002), stated that natural disasters can be effectively minimized through such measures as improved use of climate and weather information and forecasts, early warning systems, land and natural resource management, agricultural practices, the ecosystem conservation in order to reverse the current trends and minimize degradation of land and water resources.

There is no significant difference between the opinions of the male and female respondents on the general impact of natural disasters in Kogi State. The reason is that the

pinch of this impact is equally felt without any sex discrimination.

Finally, impact and the general effects of natural disasters based on personal observations and visitations to sites of occurrence has majorly restricted to riverine areas compared to places that are not close to the river. This is the more reason why the 2012 flood disaster in Kogi State was more pronounced in Lokoja and Idah areas of Kogi State. Other places including Kogi West experienced this from tributaries of river Niger and Benue together with the nature of their soils.

## 9. Conclusion

Natural disaster exist in Kogi State been the study area. The major natural disasters in existence are in the forms of flooding, drought, wildfire, windstorms and overgrazing but more pronouncedly are flooding and drought. Cyclones as natural disasters are known to majorly arise from destruction of natural barrier like opening of dams, climatic factors like heavy rainfall and geological factors due to soil nature and characteristics. The effects of natural disasters are enormous and numerous and some of the major ones are; making land unsuitable for agricultural production, reduction of farmers' income, loss of potential production, increase cost of farm operations, destruction of properties, renders farmers homeless, destroys farm structures, increase government capital expenditure and induce stress sickness among farmers thus reducing their life span.

From the studies, it was concluded that there is no significant difference between the views of the male and female subjects on the agents, causes, effects and possible approaches to be adopted towards the control of natural disaster in Kogi State. Some areas like the Kogi West Senatorial district of the state experienced minimal flooding due to the nature of their soil which is clay inclined.

## Recommendations

Based on the findings and discussions, the following recommendations can be proffered as this will help reduce the incidence of natural disaster to a greater extent.

1. The period of dam evacuation should be shifted from the rainy season period when rainfall is heavy to the dry season period.
2. There must be greater investment in disaster reduction rather than high profile response efforts.
3. Improved data on past disasters would help inform investment and policy decisions and thus help become more appropriate levels and forms of disaster prevention; mitigation and preparedness.
4. There is need for historical studies as this would help inform the development of appropriate methodologies for the assessment of future disaster.
5. It is very important to develop mechanisms for more efficient assessment and documentation of

- natural disaster impacts in agriculture.
6. Priority need to be given to support research with practical applications since research is very useful to understand the physical and biological factors that contribute to disasters.
  7. There is need for community – wide awareness and education programs on natural disasters through effective campaign strategies.
  8. Programs for improving prediction methods and dissemination of warnings should be expanded and intensified.
  9. The supervisory roles of urban and regional planning agency should be intensified.
  10. Finally, settlement rules and regulations should be strictly observed.

## References

- [1] As Das, H.P. (2003). *Introduction in Agrometeorology related to the Extreme Events*. WMO No.943, Geneva, Switzerland; World Meteorological Organization.
- [2] Atanu, F.H. (2011). Effects of Environmental and Geological Factors on Farming activities in North Central States of Nigeria. *Ankpa Teacher Education Journal (ATEJ)* 14(3) 26 – 32.
- [3] Bryant, C.R. and Johnson, T.R.R. (1992). *Agriculture in the City's Countryside*. London: Printer Press.
- [4] Daniel, B.P. (2002). *Control of Natural Disaster in Riverine Areas of Nigeria*. Abuja: Jeras Publishers.
- [5] Davidson, S.S. (2011). Man's Activities to the Environment and its general Effects. *Confluence Journal* 2(1) 19 – 24.
- [6] Hoppe, P. (2007). Scientific and Economic Rationale for Weather Risk Insurance for Agriculture. In: (M.V.K. Sivakumar and R.P. Motha, eds.) *Managing Weather and Climate Risks in Agriculture*. Berlin: Springer – 367 – 378.
- [7] Mkpado, M; Onuoha, R.E. & Uduma, M.K. (2011). Impact Evaluation of Cereals' Heitarage and Output Responses to Infrastructure in Nigeria. *International Journal of Agriculture* 3(1) 12 – 18.
- [8] Oluwasanmi, H.A. (1996). *Agriculture and Nigerian Economic Development*. Ibadan: Oxford University Press.
- [9] Udale, P. (2012). *Man's Interference with the Ecosystem*. Nsukka: White Glo Printers.
- [10] Udale, P. (2013). Effects of Natural Disasters on Man. *Journal of Confluence Educators: 3(2)* 20 – 25.
- [11] UNISDR (2003). "Linking Disaster Risk Reduction and Climate Change Adaptation". A Workshop Prevention in Related Actions to Address the Specific Needs and Concerns of Developing country Parties Arising from the Adverse Effect of Climate Change and from the Impact of the Implementation of Response Measures. UNFCC, Bonn, Germany 14 – 15 May. Inter – Agency Secretariat for the International Strategy for Disaster Reduction (UNISDR).
- [12] World Bank (1997). *Rural Development – from vision to action, Environmental and Socially Sustainable Development Studies and Monograph Series; No.12*, Washington, D.C: The World Bank.