



International Journal of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources

# Keywords

NERICA, Panicle Exertion, Spikelet Sterility, Grain Yield, Low Temperature, Correlation, Upland Rice, Ethiopia

Received: October 02, 2014 Revised: October 16, 2014 Accepted: October 17, 2014

# Phenotypic expression in upland NERICA rice under low temperature condition at reproductive stage

# Abebaw Dessie<sup>1, \*</sup>, Irie Kenji<sup>2</sup>, Hironobu Shiwachi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, National Rice Research and Training Center, Woreta Ethiopia

<sup>2</sup>Tokyo University of Agriculture, 1-1-1, Sakuragaoka, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo 156-8502, Japan

# Email address

abebaw7@yahoo.com (A. Dessie), dessieabebaw7@gmail.com (A. Dessie)

## Citation

Abebaw Dessie, Irie Kenji, Hironobu Shiwachi. Phenotypic Expression in Upland NERICA Rice under Low Temperature Condition at Reproductive Stage. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources*. Vol. 1, No. 4, 2014, pp. 88-95.

# Abstract

The study was conducted with the objective to examine the potential of panicle exertion, spikelet fertility percent and grain yield of twenty-two upland rice varieties in three different growing seasons. The experiment was laid out in randomized completely block design with two replications in experimental station of Tokyo University of Agriculture. ANOVA result revealed that there were strong significant differences (p = 0.01) between genotypes on panicle exertion under low temperature conditions. NERICA14 (7 cm) scored the highest value whereas NERICA12 (-3.870 cm) the smallest followed by NERICA4 (-3.665 cm). The high reduction in panicle exertion from +3.430 cm to -3.120cm, almost 200 % reduction (NERICA16), was due to the fact that this genotype exhibited both positive and negative values, which correspond to complete and incomplete panicle exertion, respectively. There were significant differences (p=0.05) between genotypes on spikelet fertility percent at low temperature but no significant between genotypes at normal temperature. 92.26, 90.160% (highly fertile) were found on NERICA7 and NERICA2, respectively. On the other hand 50.021, 59.593 and 64.65 % (Partly sterile) were presented on NERICA10, NERICA14 and NERICA11, respectively. The ANOVA revealed that NERICA7 gave highest yield (3222.10 kg/ha) followed by NERICA6 (3024.11kg/ha) in low temperature. Strong positive correlation (r = 0.49, p = 0.01) between spikelet fertility percent and grain yield found during low temperature condition means as spikelet fertility increased so did on grain yield. Genotypes, NERICA 7 combined all high mean panicle exertion, spikelet fertility and grain yield/ha and could be characterize as ideal genotype.

# **1. Introduction**

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) belongs to the family *Poaceae*, and tribe *Oryzeae*. The tribe *Oryzeae* consists of 12 genera and more than 70 species, of which *Oryza* is a modest sized genus consisting of 20 wild species and two cultivated species namely, Oryza sativa L and Oryza glaberriam (John Wiley and Sons Inc., 2003). It is one of the three major food crops in the world and forms the staple diet about half of the world's population.

Rice can be grown in wide agro climatic zones; however, low temperature stress is a serious problem for rice growers at high elevations in the tropics. The rice plant could

normally grow between 20  $^{\circ}$ c and 35  $^{\circ}$ c and this critical temperature vary with genotype, duration of critical temperature, diurnal changes and physiological status of the plant (Yoshida S., 1981).

Temperature regime greatly influences not only the growth duration but also the growth pattern of the rice plant. During the growing season, the mean temperature, and the temperature sum, range, distribution pattern, and diurnal changes, or a combination of these, may be highly correlated with grain yields (Moomaw and Vergara, 1965). Critical temperatures for germination, tillering, inflorescence initiation and development, dehiscence, and ripening of rice have been identified (Yoshida, 1987). The most sensitive stages against the stress are panicle initiation stage (24 days before heading), reduction division stage (12-14 days before heading) and an anthesis (0 day before heading) stage (Hoshikawa, K., 1975 and Yoshida, S., T.Shatake and D.S. Mackell, 1981).

Low temperature during the young microspore stage cause grain sterility and reduces grain yield in many temperate rice growing environments (Farrel et al., 2006). The threshold temperature for inducing cold damage is cultivar dependent, with night air temperature of 15 °c for 4 days during the young microspore stage inducing grain sterility in cold tolerance cultivars, whereas 17-19 °c were critical for susceptible cultivars (Satake, 1969). However, Nakamura et al. (2000) conducted that genetic factor also important in determining the level of cold tolerance. The optimum temperature for anthesis is 25-30 °c, the highest limit about 50 °c, and the lowest, about 15 °c. Under exceedingly high temperature or low temperature condition, the dehiscence of anthers and pollination do not progress normally and when flowers exposed to low temperature below 20 °c at anthesis, fertilization becomes imperfect and unfertilized grains (empty grains) are produced (Kiyochika Hoshikawa, 1989). The major reasons for this are the incomplete dehiscence of anthesis, incomplete germination of pollen grain, and incomplete elongation of pollen tube. Sterile type of cold injury is a very serious problem not only at high latitudes but also in uplands at low latitudes (Dai et al., 2004). According to Kaneda and Beachell (1974), low temperature during the reproductive stage cause grain sterility, incomplete panicle exertion, prolonged flowering period because of irregular heading, degeneration of spikelet, irregular maturity, formation of abnormal grains. The degree of cold injury depends on the air or water temperature, the cropping pattern, the growth stage of the rice and other factors (R.M. Visperas and B.S. Verara, 1981). Cold snaps during the reproductive stage cause a reaction in the plant that prevents sugar getting to the pollen. Without sugar there is no starch build-up which provides energy for pollen germination. And without pollen, pollination cannot occur so no grain is produced (www.csiro.au). According to CSIRO Plant Industry researchers finding in Australia (2008) a cell laver surrounding the pollen, called the 'tapetum', is responsible for feeding the pollen with sugar. The tapetum is only active for 1-2 days, so if a cold snap occurs at this time then there is

no further chance for pollen growth. But the sugar can't freely move into the tapetum and pass through it to the pollen. Instead the sugar has to be broken down then transported in bits to the pollen. 'Invertase' is the catalyst that helps break down the sugar to transport it into the tapetum before it is transported to the pollen. Quantities of invertase are decreased in conventional rice when it is exposed to cold temperatures, but they remain at normal levels in a cold tolerant variety when it experiences cold. The objective of this study was therefore to determine whether there are genotypic differences at reproductive growth stages in low temperature condition. If so, whether such differences are associated with variation in ability to complete panicle exertion, spikelet fertility and finally grain yield in various temperature conditions at reproductive stage.

#### 2. Material and Methods

In this study 22 upland rice genotypes of different origins, most from Africa (all 18 upland NERICA, N1-N18); Japan (3) and Mynmar (1) were used in the experiment. The genotypes and their sources of origin are contained in table 1.

The experiment was conducted in Yoga experimental station (Tokyo University of Agriculture). The experiment was laid out in randomized completely block design with two replication. Three different sowing time (experiment 1 sown on June 10, experiment 2 sown on June 24 and experiment 3 on July 15, 2012) were used which aimed to compare the performance of genotypes in different season; the reproductive stage of experiment 3 was coincide with the cool season whereas the other two were at normal growing season, so comparing of these separate experiments can gave remarkable results. Spacing between rows and plants were 30 cm and 10 cm, respectively. Seeds were treated with fungicide (Benlate) for 48 hours prior to sowing to prevent contamination of seeds from fungus in the soil. 10 holes per row per genotype were used. Three pre-germinated, clean and healthy seeds were then sown into each of the seed holes, covered with the same soil and pressed gently to ensure adequate contact between the seeds and soil. Subsequently, thinning to one seedling per hole was done when the germinated seedling reached five-leaf stage. The plots were then watered at regular intervals to ensure germination and subsequent growth. All agronomic practices were applied according to the local recommendation.

The type of data collected during the study were date of 50 % flowering, date of 80 % physiological maturity, plant height, tiller number per panicle, panicle length, panicle exertion ( the distance between the leaf cushion of flag leaf and the neck of panicle node), number of field and unfilled grain per panicle, 1000 kernel grain weight, spikelet fertility percent and yield. Throughout the duration of the study temperature and relative humidity data were recorded at regular time intervals, using a data logger ; TR-71U, T & D Corporation, Japan (Figure 1)

Evaluation of genotypes for cold tolerance in this experiment was carried out by means of the following major

characteristics: spikelet fertility %, panicle exertion, panicle length and yield. Spikelet fertility (%) = no. of filled grains/ (no. of empty grains + no. of filled grains) x100. The yield was calculated using the following formula; (Yield per unit area) = (Number of panicle per unit area) x (Average number of spikelet per panicle) x (Percentage of ripened grains) x

#### (1,000 kernel weight) / 1,000.

The data were subjected to the GLM procedure for ANOVA using SAS software. Mean separations were done using Tukey grouping method (SAS Ini., 2004). Relationships between different attributes were determined by Pearson's correlation coefficient.

| No. | Acc. | Variety         | No. | Acc. | Variety                     |
|-----|------|-----------------|-----|------|-----------------------------|
| 1   | 108  | NERICA-1 (CIV)  | 12  | 119  | NERICA-12 (CIV)             |
| 2   | 109  | NERICA-2 (CIV)  | 13  | 120  | NERICA-13 (CIV)             |
| 3   | 110  | NERICA- 3(CIV)  | 14  | 121  | NERICA-14 (CIV)             |
| 4   | 111  | NERICA-4 (CIV)  | 15  | 122  | NERICA-15 (CIV)             |
| 5   | 112  | NERICA-5 (CIV)  | 16  | 123  | NERICA-16 (CIV)             |
| 6   | 113  | NERICA-6 (CIV)  | 17  | 124  | NERICA-17 (CIV)             |
| 7   | 114  | NERICA-7 (CIV)  | 18  | 125  | NERICA-18 (CIV)             |
| 8   | 115  | NERICA-8 (CIV)  | 19  | 80   | Rikutounourinomochi26 (JPN) |
| 9   | 116  | NERICA-9 (CIV)  | 20  | 278  | Tsukubahatamichi (JPN)      |
| 10  | 117  | NERICA-10 (CIV) | 21  | 79   | Yumenohatamochi (JP)        |
| 11  | 118  | NERICA-11 (CIV) | 22  | 069  | Yar-2 (MMR)                 |

Table 1. List of upland rice genotypes used in experiment and their sources of origin.

Acc. = Accession number. Letters in Parenthesis () signify the ISO 3- letter codes identifying countries where the genotypes originated.



Figure 1. Temperature during the study period using a data logger (TR-71U, T & D Corporation, Japan

### 3. Result and Discussion

The separate analysis of variance (ANOVA) revealed that there were a significant different among genotypes especially under low temperature. Number of grain per panicle, panicle exertion, spikelet fertility percent and yield showed a significant different under different temperature condition.

Result of the study revealed that there were a significant difference between genotypes on panicle length in experiment 1 and 2 (P = 0.01 and p = 0.05), respectively, however there were no significant at experiment 3 (table 3). NERICA6, NERICA15 and NERICA7 scored the highest panicle length whereas the lowest was scored on YUMENOHATAMOCHI in experiment 1 and 2 (table 3).

There were strong significant differences (p =0.01) between genotypes on panicle exertion under low temperature (table 4). NERICA14 (7 cm) has the highest record whereas NERICA12 (-3.870 cm) has the smallest followed by NERICA4 (-3.665 cm). The high reduction in panicle exertion, almost 200 % reduction like NERICA16 (table 4), was due to the fact that this genotype exhibited both positive and negative values, which correspond to complete and incomplete exertion, respectively. For example, the reduction in the degree of panicle exertion from +3.430 cm to -3.120 cm in NERICA16 was due to cold temperature, would mean a reduction of almost 200%. This also explains the higher coefficient of variation (CV) associated with this trait during low temperature.

| 0                | 51  | ů í   | *   | v 0   | 1 1   |   |
|------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|                  | Experiment 1                                |   | experiment 2                                |   | Experiment 3                                |   |
| Genotype         | Average<br>temperature at<br>flowering (°c) | Average<br>temperature at<br>panicle initiation<br>(°c) | Average<br>temperature at<br>flowering (°c) | Average<br>temperature at<br>panicle initiation<br>(°c) | Average<br>temperature at<br>flowering (°c) | Average<br>temperature at<br>panicle<br>initiation (°c) |
| NERICA7          | 23.0  | 31.4  | 22.4  | 24.9  | 19.0  | 25.3  |
| NERICA15         | 22.0  | 30.4  | 23.8  | 25.7  | 20.1  | 22.0  |
| NERICA6          | 23.0  | 31.4  | 24.0  | 25.7  | 17.6  | 23.3  |
| NERICA16         | 25.8  | 31.8  | 23.8  | 25.7  | 19.0  | 22.8  |
| NERICA18         | 25.8  | 31.8  | 23.0  | 24.6  | 20.1  | 22.0  |
| NERICA13         | 22.0  | 30.4  | 24.0  | 25.7  | 20.1  | 22.0  |
| NERICA17         | 25.8  | 30.5  | 23.1  | 26.0  | 17.6  | 24.3  |
| YUMENOHATAMOCHI  | 25.8  | 30.5  | 23.1  | 26.0  | 17.8  | 24.4  |
| NERICA12         | 22.0  | 30.4  | 23.8  | 25.7  | 19.0  | 23.0  |
| NERICA4          | 22.8  | 29.8  | 23.2  | 25.4  | 17.6  | 23.3  |
| NERICA2          | 24.4  | 31.8  | 22.4  | 24.9  | 20.0  | 23.5  |
| RN26             | 23.0  | 31.4  | 22.4  | 24.9  | 19.3  | 25.3  |
| NERICA3          | 23.0  | 31.4  | 24.0  | 25.7  | 20.1  | 22.0  |
| NERICA5          | 25.3  | 32.0  | 24.3  | 29.8  | 23.1  | 23.5  |
| NERICA11         | 25.8  | 30.5  | 23.2  | 22.7  | 17.8  | 24.4  |
| NERICA14         | 24.4  | 31.8  | 24.3  | 29.8  | 20.3  | 24.7  |
| NERICA1          | 22.8  | 29.8  | 23.2  | 22.7  | 20.0  | 23.5  |
| NERICA8          | 25.3  | 31.1  | 22.4  | 22.7  | 17.6  | 24.3  |
| NERICA10         | 23.5  | 31.3  | 24.0  | 25.7  | 23.1  | 23.5  |
| TSUKUBAHATAMOCHI | 23.0  | 31.4  | 24.0  | 26.0  | 20.1  | 22.0  |
| NERICA9          | 23.5  | 31.3  | 24.0  | 25.7  | 17.6  | 23.3  |

Table 2. 21 genotypes with their cross bonding temperature at panicle initiation and flowering time in three separate experiments

Table 3. Panicle length (cm) under three different temperature condition

| Genotype         | Experiment 1 | Experiment 2 | Experiment 3 |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| NERICA7          | 25.580       | 27.400       | 23.250       |
| NERICA15         | 26.350       | 26.285       | 27.920       |
| NERICA6          | 27.665       | 27.865       | 26.200       |
| NERICA16         | 24.085       | 26.170       | 24.415       |
| NERICA18         | 23.585       | 25.835       | 21.835       |
| NERICA13         | 22.765       | 20.830       | 23.920       |
| NERICA17         | 23.820       | 25.365       | 24.915       |
| YUMENOHATAMOCHI  | 20.115       | 23.715       | 23.085       |
| NERICA12         | 24.550       | 25.585       | 23.420       |
| NERICA4          | 23.500       | 25.400       | 24.000       |
| NERICA2          | 22.000       | 24.580       | 25.450       |
| RN26             | 20.250       | 24.375       | 21.950       |
| NERICA3          | 25.165       | 24.130       | 25.000       |
| NERICA5          | 21.920       | 24.165       | 22.000       |
| NERICA11         | 25.350       | 25.000       | 22.750       |
| NERICA14         | 24.430       | 25.950       | 24.665       |
| NERICA1          | 23.285       | 25.330       | 25.885       |
| NERICA8          | 24.200       | 25.830       | 24.165       |
| NERICA10         | 22.500       | 22.835       | 24.465       |
| TSUKUBAHATAMOCHI | 19.085       | 18.550       | 21.300       |
| NERICA9          | 20.750       | 22.830       | 24.535       |
| CV (%)           | 6.2          | 7.500        | 8.8          |
| $\mathbb{R}^2$   | 0.82         | 0.740        | 0.56         |
| MSD              | 5.9131       | 7.541        | 8.6531       |
| Significance     | **           | *            | ns           |

\*\*=significant at p<0.01 level, \*, = significant at P<0.05 level, ns=no significant

 Table 4. Panicle exertion (cm) of 21 genotypes in different temperature condition

| Construe         | Emperiment 1 | E-manimum 2  | Emoniment 2  |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Genotype         | Experiment I | Experiment 2 | Experiment 5 |
| NERICA7          | 5.435        | 7.835        | 5.065        |
| NERICA15         | 10.365       | 3.770        | -0.415       |
| NERICA6          | 7.750        | 5.415        | -1.150       |
| NERICA16         | 3.430        | 4.235        | -3.120       |
| NERICA18         | 4.530        | 3.885        | -0.099       |
| NERICA13         | 3.985        | 1.615        | -1.780       |
| NERICA17         | 7.585        | 5.585        | 4.720        |
| YUMENOHATAMOCHI  | 6.735        | 7.270        | 6.335        |
| NERICA12         | 4.735        | 1.450        | -3.870       |
| NERICA4          | 6.085        | 1.535        | -3.665       |
| NERICA2          | 2.000        | 2.185        | 2.330        |
| RN26             | 6.835        | 4.150        | 6.335        |
| NERICA3          | 5.015        | 3.015        | 0.080        |
| NERICA5          | 0.535        | 2.500        | 2.785        |
| NERICA11         | 2.450        | 3.415        | -1.165       |
| NERICA14         | 2.365        | 5.465        | 7.000        |
| NERICA1          | 5.720        | 5.630        | 1.000        |
| NERICA8          | 2.665        | 4.170        | 1.835        |
| NERICA10         | 1.435        | 2.535        | 2.750        |
| TSUKUBAHATAMOCHI | 4.250        | 7.770        | 2.170        |
| NERICA9          | 2.835        | 2.280        | 1.335        |
| CV (%)           | 43.6         | 43.0         |              |
| $\mathbb{R}^2$   | 0.74         | 0.72         | 0.81         |
| MSD              | 8.1906       | 7.2386       | 8.6270       |
| Significance     | *            | *            | **           |

The study also declared that there were no significant differences between genotypes on spikelet fertility percent at normal temperature conditions however a significance difference was observed under low temperature between genotypes (experiment 3). 92.26, 90.160% (highly fertile) were obtained from NERICA7 and NERICA2, respectively. On the other hand 50.021, 59.593 and 64.65 % (Partly sterile)

were presented on NERICA10, NERICA14 and NERICA11, respectively (table 5).

 Table 5. Spikelet fertility percent of 21 genotypes in different temperature condition

| Genotype         | Experiment 1 | Experiment 2 | Experiment 3 |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| NERICA7          | 89.545       | 85.050       | 92.26        |
| NERICA15         | 92.315       | 85.565       | 82.86        |
| NERICA6          | 89.940       | 83.560       | 84.286       |
| NERICA16         | 96.730       | 89.470       | 70.102       |
| NERICA18         | 94.720       | 88.005       | 78.055       |
| NERICA13         | 92.245       | 76.290       | 76.58        |
| NERICA17         | 91.150       | 77.640       | 74.425       |
| YUMENOHATAMOCHI  | 95.350       | 79.365       | 80.41        |
| NERICA12         | 93.375       | 74.545       | 75.075       |
| NERICA4          | 92.255       | 63.135       | 65.001       |
| NERICA2          | 92.560       | 80.870       | 90.16        |
| RN26             | 93.505       | 80.655       | 79.955       |
| NERICA3          | 89.370       | 81.095       | 83.055       |
| NERICA5          | 88.605       | 88.375       | 70.565       |
| NERICA11         | 89.920       | 74.160       | 64.68        |
| NERICA14         | 92.725       | 79.635       | 59.595       |
| NERICA1          | 94.245       | 81.120       | 71.105       |
| NERICA8          | 87.205       | 78.750       | 85.845       |
| NERICA10         | 90.795       | 80.110       | 50.021       |
| TSUKUBAHATAMOCHI | 97.015       | 80.870       | 72.101       |
| NERICA9          | 89.570       | 76.290       | 73.89        |
| CV (%)           | 3.7          | 8.1          | 8.8          |
| $\mathbb{R}^2$   | 0.58         | 0.72         | 0.74         |
| MSD              | 13.9470      | 26.2090      | 28.3270      |
| Significance     | Ns           | ns           | *            |

\*\*=significant at p<0.01 level, \*, = significant at P<0.05 level, ns=no significant

 Table 6. Number of grain per panicle of 21 genotypes under different temperature condition

| Genotype         | Experiment 1 | <b>Experiment 2</b> | Experiment 3 |
|------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|
| NERICA7          | 151.170      | 187.000             | 153.000      |
| NERICA15         | 186.170      | 206.500             | 122.000      |
| NERICA6          | 191.500      | 205.170             | 167.500      |
| NERICA16         | 202.670      | 218.500             | 158.000      |
| NERICA18         | 198.000      | 225.000             | 165.000      |
| NERICA13         | 124.170      | 159.5               | 101.000      |
| NERICA17         | 123.000      | 122.500             | 106.000      |
| YUMENOHATAMOCHI  | 161.170      | 137.170             | 102.500      |
| NERICA12         | 142.830      | 139.000             | 115.500      |
| NERICA4          | 148.840      | 129.340             | 125.000      |
| NERICA2          | 155.500      | 126.170             | 145.000      |
| RN26             | 141.840      | 143.170             | 128.500      |
| NERICA3          | 122.670      | 145.840             | 145.500      |
| NERICA5          | 147.000      | 137.840             | 114.500      |
| NERICA11         | 231.170      | 158.000             | 116.500      |
| NERICA14         | 169.340      | 182.840             | 133.500      |
| NERICA1          | 133.670      | 193.500             | 93.000       |
| NERICA8          | 119.330      | 178.830             | 177.000      |
| NERICA10         | 144.170      | 156.330             | 134.000      |
| TSUKUBAHATAMOCHI | 99.340       | 120.840             | 80.500       |
| NERICA9          | 136.000      | 151.670             | 141.000      |
| CV (%)           | 14.5         | 12.8                | 17.6         |
| $\mathbb{R}^2$   | 0.81         | 0.83                | 0.72         |
| MSD              | 91.106       | 85.1950             | 93.0440      |
| Significance     | **           | **                  | *            |

\*\*=significant at p<0.01 level, \*, = significant at P<0.05 level, ns=no significant

The ANOVA result declared that as there were a strong significant difference between genotypes on number of grain per panicle and NERICA8 has the highest followed by NERICA18 and NERICA7 in experiment 3 (table 6). The least number of grains per panicle was recorded on TSUKUBAHATAMOCHI.

The analysis of variance on grain yield revealed that NERICA7 gave highest yield (4575.400 kg/ha) followed by NERICA18 (4375.40 kg/ha) in experiment 2b. 3222.100 kg/ha obtained from NERICA7 followed by NERICA6 (3024.11kg/ha) in experiment 3, the lowest was recorded on NERICA14 (1007.00 kg/ha) (table 7).

Table 7. Grain yield (kg/ha) of 21 genotypes at different temperature condition

| Genotype         | Experiment 1 | Experiment 2 | Experiment 3 |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| NERICA7          | 2593.400     | 4575.400     | 3222.100     |
| NERICA15         | 3938.600     | 5208.200     | 2038.700     |
| NERICA6          | 2440.300     | 3197.600     | 3024.100     |
| NERICA16         | 2158.700     | 3637.700     | 1920.500     |
| NERICA18         | 2683.500     | 4375.400     | 2406.600     |
| NERICA13         | 2693.800     | 2866.4       | 1397.500     |
| NERICA17         | 3984.000     | 3780.400     | 2602.400     |
| YUMENOHATAMOCHI  | 4430.600     | 3205.700     | 1982.900     |
| NERICA12         | 3056.700     | 3681.300     | 2339.600     |
| NERICA4          | 2782.900     | 1891.000     | 1659.200     |
| NERICA2          | 2947.900     | 2077.100     | 2449.800     |
| RN26             | 2830.700     | 3324.500     | 1914.900     |
| NERICA3          | 1940.100     | 2775.500     | 2301.400     |
| NERICA5          | 2175.000     | 2144.200     | 1599.100     |
| NERICA11         | 3984.000     | 3241.800     | 1594.300     |
| NERICA14         | 2906.200     | 3559.400     | 1007.000     |
| NERICA1          | 1893.900     | 3526.800     | 1221.400     |
| NERICA8          | 1817.400     | 3258.200     | 2595.100     |
| NERICA10         | 2140.400     | 2874.400     | 1617.400     |
| TSUKUBAHATAMOCHI | 2516.500     | 3044.400     | 1205.700     |
| NERICA9          | 1817.400     | 2641.100     | 2463.800     |
| CV (%)           | 23.1         | 26.6         | 25.5         |
| $\mathbb{R}^2$   | 0.73         | 0.63         | 0.73         |
| MSD              | 2556.2       | 3551.2000    | 2107.3000    |
| Significance     | *            | ns           | *            |

\*\*=significant at p<0.01 level, \*, = significant at P<0.05 level, ns=no significant

#### 3.1. Relationship between Spikelet Fertility and Grain Yield during Optimum and Low Temperature Conditions

There was a strong positive correlation (r = 0.49, p = 0.01) between spikelet fertility and grain yield during low temperature condition (17.6 - 23.1 °c at flowering) means as spikelet fertility increased so did on grain yield however there was no obvious correlation between them under optimum temperature condition (22.0 - 25.8 °c at flowering) even though they have positive r value (figure 2).



**Figure 2.** Relationship between grain yield and spikelet fertility in optimum  $(22.0 - 25.8 \ ^{\circ}c$  at flowering) and low  $(17.6 - 23.1 \ ^{\circ}c$  at flowering) temperature conditions.

The symbol (\*\*) signifies as statistically significant coefficient of correlation (r) at p = 0.01

In low temperature condition, one genotype - NERICA7 gained high grain yield (3222.10 kg/ha) with high value of spikelet fertility percent (92.26 %, highly fertile according to IRRI standard evaluation system), which had a profound effect on the slop of the regression line and consequently the value of the correlation. NERICA7 alone was responsible for the moderate correlation (r = 0.49). Result relating grain yield and spikelet fertility percent in optimum temperature conditions showed a weak correlation but still the value of (r) was positive (figure 2) that gave no clear apparent indication of the predictability of one variable based on knowledge of the other.

The relationship between panicle exertion and spikelet fertility percent showed that they had very weak correlations at low and optimum temperature conditions though they had positive r value figure 3). NERICA14 attained highest panicle exertion (7cm) however it scored 59.595 % spikelet fertility (partly sterile), which had profound effect on the slop of the regression line and consequently the value of correlation. NERICA14 alone was responsible for the less correlation (r=0.049).



*Figure 3.* Relationship between panicle exertion and spikelet fertility in optimum  $(22.0 - 25.8 \circ c \text{ at flowering})$  and low  $(17.6 - 23.1 \circ c \text{ at flowering})$  temperature conditions

The results of this study suggests that the combination effect of spikelet fertility percent, panicle exertion and grain yield for a given genotype had major role to identify it at reproductive stage under low temperature conditions. For example, NERICA7 scored high panicle exertion, spikelet fertility and grain yield under low temperature condition (table 8). On the other hand, some genotypes like NERICA14 and TSUKUBAHATAMOCHI scored high panicle exertion, spikelet fertility percent and grain yield at optimum temperature condition however they did not perform well on spikelet fertility percent and grain yield at low temperature condition (table 8 and 9). This study implies that cold tolerant evaluation through the reduction of panicle exertion alone do not allow for the distinction between cold tolerant from cold sensitive genotypes unless the spikelet fertility percent reduction and grain yield are included. Similarly, Cruz (2006) observed that evaluation cold tolerant through the reduction in panicle exertion alone did not allow to differentiate cold tolerant from cold sensitive rice varieties rather spikelet fertility reduction must considered.

 Table 8. 18 Upland NERICAs and two Japonica rice genotypes response on panicle exertion, spikelet fertility % and grain yield under optimum temperature condition during reproductive stage

| Genotype         | Average temperature at flowering (°c) | Average temperature at panicle initiation (°c) | Panicle exertion<br>(cm) | Spikelet<br>fertility % | Grain yield<br>(kg/ha) |
|------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| NERICA7          | 22.4                                  | 24.9   | 7.8                      | 89.55                   | 4575.40                |
| NERICA15         | 23.8                                  | 25.7   | 3.8                      | 92.32                   | 5208.20                |
| NERICA6          | 24.0                                  | 25.7   | 5.4                      | 89.94                   | 3197.60                |
| NERICA16         | 23.8                                  | 25.7   | 4.2                      | 96.73                   | 3637.70                |
| NERICA18         | 23.0                                  | 24.6   | 3.9                      | 94.72                   | 4375.40                |
| NERICA13         | 24.0                                  | 25.7   | 1.6                      | 92.25                   | 2866.40                |
| NERICA17         | 23.1                                  | 26.0   | 5.6                      | 91.15                   | 3780.40                |
| YUMENOHATAMOCHI  | 23.1                                  | 26.0   | 7.3                      | 95.35                   | 3205.70                |
| NERICA12         | 23.8                                  | 25.7   | 1.5                      | 93.38                   | 3681.30                |
| NERICA4          | 23.2                                  | 25.4   | 1.5                      | 92.26                   | 1891.00                |
| NERICA2          | 22.4                                  | 24.9   | 2.2                      | 92.56                   | 2077.10                |
| RN26             | 22.4                                  | 24.9   | 4.2                      | 93.51                   | 3324.50                |
| NERICA3          | 24.0                                  | 25.7   | 3.0                      | 89.37                   | 2775.50                |
| NERICA5          | 24.3                                  | 29.8   | 2.5                      | 88.61                   | 2144.20                |
| NERICA11         | 23.2                                  | 22.7   | 3.4                      | 89.92                   | 3241.80                |
| NERICA14         | 24.3                                  | 29.8   | 5.5                      | 92.73                   | 3559.40                |
| NERICA1          | 23.2                                  | 22.7   | 5.6                      | 94.25                   | 3526.80                |
| NERICA8          | 22.4                                  | 22.7   | 4.2                      | 87.21                   | 3258.20                |
| NERICA10         | 24.0                                  | 25.7   | 2.5                      | 90.80                   | 2874.40                |
| TSUKUBAHATAMOCHI | 24.0                                  | 26.0   | 7.8                      | 97.02                   | 3044.40                |
| NERICA9          | 24.0                                  | 25.7   | 2.3                      | 89.57                   | 2641.10                |

| Genotype         | Average temperature at flowering (°c) | Average temperature at panicle initiation (°c) | Panicle exertion<br>(cm) | Spikelet<br>fertility % | Grain yield<br>(kg/ha) |
|------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| NERICA7          | 19.0                                  | 25.3   | 5.1                      | 92.26                   | 3222.10                |
| NERICA15         | 20.1                                  | 22.0   | -0.4                     | 82.86                   | 2038.70                |
| NERICA6          | 17.6                                  | 23.3   | -1.2                     | 84.29                   | 3024.10                |
| NERICA16         | 19.0                                  | 22.8   | -3.1                     | 70.10                   | 1920.50                |
| NERICA18         | 20.1                                  | 22.0   | -0.1                     | 78.06                   | 2406.60                |
| NERICA13         | 20.1                                  | 22.0   | -1.8                     | 76.58                   | 1397.50                |
| NERICA17         | 17.6                                  | 24.3   | 4.7                      | 74.43                   | 2602.40                |
| YUMENOHATAMOCHI  | 17.8                                  | 24.4   | 6.3                      | 80.41                   | 1982.90                |
| NERICA12         | 19.0                                  | 23.0   | -3.9                     | 75.08                   | 2339.60                |
| NERICA4          | 17.6                                  | 23.3   | -3.7                     | 65.00                   | 1659.20                |
| NERICA2          | 20.0                                  | 23.5   | 2.3                      | 90.16                   | 2449.80                |
| RN26             | 19.3                                  | 25.3   | 6.3                      | 79.96                   | 1914.90                |
| NERICA3          | 20.1                                  | 22.0   | 0.1                      | 83.06                   | 2301.40                |
| NERICA5          | 23.1                                  | 23.5   | 2.8                      | 70.57                   | 1599.10                |
| NERICA11         | 17.8                                  | 24.4   | -1.2                     | 64.68                   | 1594.30                |
| NERICA14         | 20.3                                  | 24.7   | 7.0                      | 59.60                   | 1007.00                |
| NERICA1          | 20.0                                  | 23.5   | 1.0                      | 71.11                   | 1221.40                |
| NERICA8          | 17.6                                  | 24.3   | 1.8                      | 85.85                   | 2595.10                |
| NERICA10         | 23.1                                  | 23.5   | 2.8                      | 50.02                   | 1617.40                |
| TSUKUBAHATAMOCHI | 20.1                                  | 22.0   | 2.2                      | 72.10                   | 1205.70                |
| NERICA9          | 17.6                                  | 23.3   | 1.3                      | 73.89                   | 2463.80                |

Table 9. 18 Upland NERICAs, two Japonica and one Indica rice genotypes response on panicle exertion, spikelet fertility % and grain yield under low temperature condition during reproductive stage

The analysis of variance showed a strong significant difference (p = 0.01) in panicle exertion under low temperature condition (table 4).

## 4. Conclusion

Plant growth and productivity are frequently threatened by environmental stresses such as low temperature, drought or salinity. Cold stress is a common problem in rice cultivation, and it is crucial factor affecting global food production. Rice is a cold sensitive plant that has its origin in tropical or subtropical areas, and cold damage can cause serious yield loss, especially when low temperature occurs during the reproductive stages. The most sensitive stage for cold injury is the booting (early reproductive) stage, especially the early pollen microspore stage, which occurs 10-12 days prior to heading. Low temperature (15-19 °c) at booting stage cause sterile pollen, which leads to spikelet sterility however it varies from genotype to genotype. While rice plants are sensitive to low temperatures, genetic variation does exist with regard to tolerance. So to increase and stabilize productivity of rice, strategic research to identify tolerant genotypes or improve the genetic tolerance of rice cultivars towards cold stresses associated with direct seeding is needed. Panicle exertions, spikelet fertility percent and number of filed grain per panicle are the main traits to identify cold tolerance genotypes at reproductive stage. This study also found that low temperature during reproductive stage in rice results degeneration of spikelet, incomplete panicle exertion and spikelet sterility, thus reduce grain yield. Cruz (2006) reported similar result. Low temperature affect rice plant in different stages from germination to reproductive stages and the response to cold temperature are different from variety to variety may because of its origin and a large quantity of organic osmoprotectant solutes (Naidu et al., 2005). In

experiment 1 and 2, all genotype scored positive value of panicle exertion even though there were greater different among genotypes (table 8) however in experiment 3, when the temperature decrease at panicle initiation and flowering time, greater difference and negative value of panicle exertion were observed among genotypes (table 9). This study also investigated that spikelet sterility was highly associated with low temperature means the low temperature during reproductive stage, the high spikelet sterility. Although the number of varieties used in the present study is limited, it is apparent that varietal differences exist and some rice groups like NERICA7 are generally more tolerant than others.

These probably possess genetic tolerance to low temperature stress and might be exploited as a source of cold tolerant genotype for rice breeding programs. According to Naidu et al. (2005), some plants resistant to cold, salinity, or drought accumulate a large quantity of organic osmoprotectant solutes. These include sugars such as trehalose, amino acids such as proline, fully N-methyl amino acids generally known as betaines and polyamines such as putrescine, spermidine and spermine. So the above mentioned cold tolerant genotypes may have these osmoprotectant solutes and also may be used as source of breeding cold tolerant rice, since it allows them to be used as genitors in crosses, as well as transfer of desirable characters to adopted genotypes.

#### Acknowledgement

The authors highly appreciate and acknowledge the team of academic advisors at the Department of International Agricultural Development of Tokyo University of Agriculture (TUA) for their constructive criticisms and helpful suggestions. The financial support of the government of Japan through Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA) is highly appreciated.

#### References

- [1] Cruz, R.P. and S.C.K. Milach, (2004). Cold tolerance at the germination stage of rice. Method of evaluation and characterization of genotypes. Sci.Agri., 61: 1-8.
- [2] Cruz, Sandara Cridtina Kothe Milach, Luiz Carlos Federizzi, (2006). Rice cold tolerance at the reproductive and stage in a controlled environment. Sci.Agric,v.63,n.3,p.255-261.
- [3] Dai, L.Y., Lin X.H., Ye, C.R., Ise, K., Saito, K., Kato, A., Xu, F.R., Yu, T.Q. & Zhang, D.P., in (2004). Identification of quantitative trait loci controlling cold tolerance at the reproductive stage Yunnan landrace of Rice, Kunmingxiaobaigu. Breed. Sci. 54, 253–258.
- [4] Farrell T.C, Fox K.M, Williams R.L, Fukai S. (2006). Genotypic variation for cold tolerance during reproductive development in rice: Screening with cold air and cold water. Field Crop Research pp. 178-194.
- [5] Hoshikawa, K. (1975). Growth of the rice plant. Nosan gyoson Bunka Kyokai. Tokyo., pp: 317. Jiang L, Xun M M, Wang J L, Wan J M. (2008). QTL analysis of cold tolerance at seedling stage in rice (Oryza sativa L.) using recombination inbreeds lines. Cereal Sci, 48: 137-179.
- [6] Kaneda. C. and H.M. Beachell. (1974). Response of indica Japonoca rice hybrids to low temperature. SABRAO J. 6:17-32.
- [7] Kiyochika Hoshikawa (1989). The growing of rice plant, an anatomical monograph.

- [8] Krishnasamy, V. and Sechu D. V. (1989). Seed germination rate and associated characters in rice. Crop Sci, 29:904-908.
- [9] Moomaw, J. C., and B.S. Vergara (1965). The environment of tropical rice production. P 3-13 in International Rice Research Institute. The mineral nutrition of the rice plant. Proceeding of a Symposium at the International Rice research Institute, February, 1964. The Johns Hopkins Press, Baltimore, Maryland.
- [10] Naidu. B., Thusitha G. and Shu F. (2005). Increasing cold tolerance in by selecting for high polyamine and Gibberelllic acid content. A report for the Rural Industries Research and Development Corporation at Australia.
- [11] Nakamura. T., Chiba, M., Koike, S., Nishiyama . I., Satake, T., Shibata, M. (2000). Number of pollen grains in rice cultivars with different cool weather resistance at the young microspore stage. Plant Prod. Sci. 3:299-305.
- [12] R. M. Visperas and B.S. Verara. (1981). Correlation of cold tolerance at different growth stages in rice.
- [13] Satake, T. (1969). Research on cold injury of paddy rice plants in Japan. Jpn. Agric. Res. Q. 4, 5-10.
- [14] SAS Institute Inc. (2004) Version 8, SAS software.
- [15] Yoshida S. (1981). Climatic environment and its influence. In: fundamentals of rice crop science. IRRI, Los Banos., pp. 1-268.
- [16] Yoshida, S., T. Shatake and D.S. Mackell, (1981). High temperature stress in rice. IRRI, Research series., 67: 1-15.
- [17] www.csiro.au CSIRO plant industry (2008).