



International Journal of Investment Management and Financial Innovations

# Keywords

Sustainability, Combined Sustainable Development Index (CSDI), Biodiversity, Society Approximation of SD, Composite Progressive Indicators (CPI), Armenian Composite Appraising Supportive Progress (ACASP)

Received: June 30, 2015 Revised: July 15, 2015 Accepted: July 16, 2015

# Approximation of Indicators in Society Magnitude as per Armenian Composite Appraising Supportive Progress (ACASP)

# Azniv Felix Petrosyan<sup>1, 2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Geography & Regional Planning, School of Rural & Surveying Engineering, National Technical University of Athens, Zographou Campus, Athens, Greece <sup>2</sup>Independent Researcher of Armenia, Zeytun, Yerevan, Armenia

### **Email address**

pet\_azniv@yahoo.com, etros\_azniv@yahoo.com

# Citation

Azniv Felix Petrosyan. Approximation of Indicators in Society Magnitude as per Armenian Composite Appraising Supportive Progress (ACASP). *International Journal of Investment Management and Financial Innovations*. Vol. 1, No. 4, 2015, pp. 77-88.

### Abstract

Sustainable Development (SD) is one of the recent accentuated areas. Moreover, Sustainable Development has supplementary influence on society rather than ecological themes, which can entirely modify the vision of the earth. Although sustainability has never been accurately calculated, Composite Appraising Supportive Progress (CASP) is an innovative index to assess Combined Sustainable Development Index (CSDI). Development and biodiversity are very incorporated whereas SD achievements can be improved through assessments of biodiversity. Biodiversity concept is applied to CASP carrying 3 D - Dimensions: Genes - Society, Species - Economy and Environment - Nature. The current paper will emphasize on society magnitude from 3D of Armenian Composite Appraising Supportive Progress (ACASP). 6 categories of society magnitude for Armenian Society of CASP as per existing Armenian Statistic Data are as: Humans -Demography; Society Concerns - Employment; Knowledge in Practice - Education; Space Science - Science; Political Performances - Economic Activities; Transport - Transport and Communication. In addition, 6 aforementioned categories are applied as per spontaneous estimation of biodiversity concept in CASP of Armenia. Composite Progressive Indicators (CPI) are approximated with the procedures using appearance of Armenian statistic data with previously defined Greek CASP. An approximation of composite indicators leads to develop Armenian CASP. Particularly, evaluation of composite indicators in society magnitude is performed to propose the new model of CASP in Armenia.

# **1. Introduction**

Petrosyan (2014) mentions on sustainability (*Picture 1*) as the current main topic whereas Nijkamp and Vreeker (2000)concentrates on 3 magnitudes of SD as:

- Bagheri and Hjorth (2008) for being neither a reductive status of the system nor a realizable static aim;
- Korhonen (2003) for never being accurately calculated;
- Opdam etc (2006) for requiring on landscape structures to support processes of ecology, societyand economy; to delivergoods and services for present & prospect generations; to sustain natural stability through key resources; and to make decisions on environmental patternsand functions.



Source: Walton etc (2005)

78

Picture 1. Primary Concept of SD.

The emphasis of Godron etc(2005) is on the appearance of

the biodiversity concept within last10-15 years. Petrosyan (2005) reorganizes the review of biodiversity stages in *Picture* 2aiming on Pearce and Moran (1994); Nunes etc (2000) and OECD (2001) papers.



Sources: Petrosyan (2005)

Picture 2. Review of Biodiversity Stages.



Sources: Petrosyan (2014)



# 2. Literature Review

The book of Petrosyan (2014) coincides with the paper of Petrosyan (2010) in *Table 1* and *Picture 3* which is postured to

integrate three (3) magnitudes, such as society (S), economy (E) and nature (N), with group of six (6) categories per each magnitude.

Table 1.	Eighteen	(18)	Categories	of CASP
Invic I.	Lignicen	101	Curegories	of Chor.

S	Society	E	Economy	N	Nature
$S_1$	Humans	E1	Banking Union	N <sub>1</sub>	Land
$S_2$	Society Concerns	E <sub>2</sub>	Human Standards	N <sub>2</sub>	Water
$S_3$	Knowledge in Practice	E <sub>3</sub>	Production and Consumption	N <sub>3</sub>	Air
$S_4$	Space Science	E <sub>4</sub>	Agriculture	N <sub>4</sub>	Biodiversity
$S_5$	Political Performance	E <sub>5</sub>	Industry	N <sub>5</sub>	Energy
$S_6$	Transport	E <sub>6</sub>	Tourism	N <sub>6</sub>	Environment

Source: Petrosyan (2014; 2010)

Petrosyan (2014) proposes depiction of magnitudes of CASP as per biodiversity concept which is viewed by Petrosyan (2015a) as educational involvements in *Table 2*.

Petrosyan (2015 b) provides an interesting approach as the finest management of indicators with the superior importance

on sustainable development and the complex estimation of encouraging progress according to nine (9) stepsas:

- 1. Producing aspects for generation of raw data;
- 2. Identifying goals for operation of produced aspects;
- 3. Imposing criteria for identified goals;

- 4. Classifying though principles of the imposed criteria;
- 5. Planning the process in the classified principles;
- 6. Reproducing the framework in the planned process;
- 7. Pertaining top-down and bottom-up approaches in the reproduced frameworks;
- 8. Applying pressure-state-response (PSR) frameworks in the pertained approaches;
- 9. Assembling the composite indicators in the assessments of supportive progresses.

Stages	Class			Landscape
Magnitudes	Society	Economy	Nature	CASP
Education	Students	Professors	Scientists	Research
Work	Study	Teach	Innovate	Perform
Categories	Genes	Species	Ecosystems	Functions
Diversities	α	β	γ	Planetary
Fragstat Acronym	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>	L <sub>4</sub>
CASP Acronym	N <sub>1</sub>	N <sub>2</sub>	N <sub>3</sub>	N <sub>4</sub>
Vegetations	Sparse	Medium	Dense	Eco-zones

Table 2. Depiction of Magnitude	s of CASP as per	Biodiversity Concept.
---------------------------------	------------------	-----------------------

Source: Petrosyan (2015a; 2014)

# **3. Materials and Methods**

#### 3.1. Study Area

The region of South Caucasus is composed of the countries of Georgia, Armenia and Azerbaijan. The area is bordered by the Caspian Sea, the Caucasus Mountains with Russia and Turkey with Iran (Vener and Campana, 2010). Armenia (*Picture 4*) is located in the southern Caucasus and covers almost 10% of the Armenian upland (29,800 km<sup>2</sup>). Mainly, the military phase complies with theoretical and logic asymmetric conflicts during 1992-1994 over Nagorno-Karabakh with specific factors led to victory (Deriglazova and Minasyan, 2011). Nowadays, Nagorno-Karabakh Republic, i.e. Artsakh, (*Picture 4*) is a smaller (4400 km<sup>2</sup>) autonomous area between Armenia and Azerbaijan. Armenia and Nagorno-Karabakh support diversity of landscapes with a range of species, due to their geographic position (Arakelyan and Parham, 2008). ARMSTAT (2015) represents eleven (11) Armenian areas and NKRSTAT (2015) presents seven (7) areas of Nagorno-Karabakh Republic in *Picture 4*.



Picture 4. Map of 18 areas of Armenia and Nagorno-Karbakh Republic.

#### 3.2. Data Sets

Data sets are retrieved from ARMSTAT (2015) and NKRSTAT (2015) in co-ordinance with eighteen (18) categories of *Table 1* as per eleven (11) Armenian and seven (7) Nagorno-Karabakh areas which are further addressed in

Petrosyan (2015a). Society (S) magnitude of Armenian CASP with six (6) chosen categories are represented in *Table 3* with maps of Armenian and Nagorn-Karabakh Marzes in *Pictures 5, 6, 7, 8, 9& 10.* 

Table 3. Six (	5) chosen	categories	of Society	Magnitude o	of Armenian CASP.
					,

Num	Armenian	Ministries	Areas / Pictures	Statistics
Society	categories			
S <sub>1</sub>	Demography (Population)	Ministry of Territorial Administration and Emergency Situations http://www.mta.gov.am	Askeran Marz / Picture 5 http://www.armeniapedia.org/wiki/Rediscovering _Armenia_GuidebookAskeran_Region http://www.nkr.am/en/	NKRSTAT (2015)
$S_2$	Employment	Ministry of Labor and Social Affairs http://www.mlsa.am	Syunik Marz / <i>Picture 6</i> http://syunik.gov.am/	ARMSTAT (2015)
S <sub>3</sub>	Education	Ministry of Education and Science http://www.edu.am	Shirak Marz / <i>Picture 7</i> http://shirak.gov.am/	ARMSTAT (2015)
$S_4$	Science	Ministry of Defense http://www.mil.am/	Lori Marz / <i>Picture 8</i> http://lori.gov.am/	ARMSTAT (2015)
<b>S</b> <sub>5</sub>	Economic Activities	National Assembly of Republic of Armenia http://parliament.am/	Yerevan Marz / <i>Picture 9</i> http://mta.gov.am/en/	ARMSTAT (2015)
<b>S</b> <sub>6</sub>	Transport and Communication	Ministry of Transport and Communication http://www.mtc.am	Vayots Dzor Marz / <i>Picture 10</i> http://vdzor.gov.am/ http://www.nkr.am/en/	ARMSTAT (2015)

Source: Petrosyan (2015 a)



Picture 5. S<sub>1</sub> - Askeran Marz.



Picture 8. S4 Lori Marz.



Picture 6. S<sub>2</sub> - Syunik Marz.



Picture 9. S5 Yerevan Marz.



Picture 7. S3 - Shirak Marz.



Picture 10. S6 Vayots Dzor Mar.z

#### 3.3. Methodology

Composite Progressive Indicators (CPI) are represented through nine (9) steps in *Table 4*:

Table 4. Nine (9) steps for Composite Progressive Indicators (CPI).

Num	Nine (9) Steps	Ways
(1)	Aspects	Choice of Indicators
(2)	Aims	Reason for choice
(3)	Criteria	Means for reason
(4)	Principles	Values for means
(5)	Processes	Procedure as per values
(6)	Framework	Structure as per procedure
(7)	Top-down and bottom-up	Implementation as per
(/)	approaches	structure
(9)	Pressure-state-response (PSR)	Reaction as per
(0)	frameworks	implementation
(9)	Composite Progressive	Improvement as per reaction
	Indicators	Improvement as per reaction

Petrosyan (2015b) emphasizes on the choice of indicators with the application on Petrosyan (2014) work as per options of CASP indicators and with the crosscheck of the existent Greek and Armenian indicators appearances from articles of actively defined worldwide indicators. Armenian indicators has better fit to CASP indicators rather than to Greek ones for four (4) reasons:

• Armenian and Nagorno-Karabakh territories are in total of eighteen (18) Marzes, which are really related to CASP model with eighteen (18) categories as per three (3) Magnitudes of Society (S), Economy (E) and Nature (N) with the further emphases of proper geographic applications as main leaders of CASP.

- Armenian territories are approached for the whole country, whereas Greek case study is viewed only for the small area of Nea-Makri near to Marathon region.
- Greek areas consist of eleven (11) regions. CASP can be applied either on the Greek regions which can be expanded up to eighteen (18) territories or on the other European nearby country with seven (7) regions should be united to eleven (11) Greek territories to progress CASP.
- ARMSTAT (2015) and NKRSTAT (2015) has enhanced fit of categories to CASP rather than Hellenic statistical database existing in 2008 or 2009.

The current paper follows the next structures:

- Correspondence of CASP indicators as per number of authors with ARMSTAT (2015) and NKRSTAT (2015) indicators;
- Computation of extracted CASP indicators as per number of authors with ARMSTAT (2015) and NKRSTAT (2015) indicators;
- Representation of extracted CASP indicators as per number of authors with ARMSTAT (2015) and NKRSTAT (2015) indicators;
- Selection of three (3) main ARMSTAT (2015) and NKRSTAT (2015) indicators as per appearance in literature review with corresponding indicators.

### 4. Results

Indicators are the main data references to correspond existing Armenian indicators in *Tables 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 &10* with the defined Society (S) Magnitude of CASP indicators as per book of Petrosyan (2014).

CASP		- # of outhour	ARMNKR		
No.	Indicator		No.	Stat Data	
			1.	Population size	
1.	Residency changes or density	22	2.	Urban population	
			3.	Rural population	
			4.	Life expectancy at birth	
2	Dirth rata	2	5.	Number of live children of third and more order of birth	
2.	Birtii Tate	3	6.	Average age of mother at child's birth	
			7.	Average age of mother at first child's birth	
2	Donaity of regidency	5	8.	Population of region centers of Nagorno-Karabakh Republic	
5.	Density of residency	5	9.	Population of Nagorno-Karabakh Republic by regions	
			10.	Marriages	
4.	Marriages & homes	2	11.	Average age of getting married	
			12.	Divorces	
5	Migratory balance	3	13.	Migration of population	
5.	Migratory balance	3	14.	Migration by age groups	
6.	Demographic change	1	15.	Fertility rates in urban settlements	
7.	Ratio of males to females	2	16.	Population distribution by sex and age	
8.	Productiveness	2	17.	Natural growth of population	
9.	Human resources	3	18.	Fertility rates in rural areas	
10.	Nationalized foreign residents	1	19.	Migration by sex and age	
11.	Positive empowerment	4	20.	Mechanical growth of population	

**Table 5.** Correspondence of  $S_1$  - ARMNKR indicators with defined CASP indicators.

#### Azniv Felix Petrosyan: Approximation of Indicators in Society Magnitude as per Armenian Composite Appraising Supportive Progress (ACASP)

CASP	ZASP		ARMNKR		
No.	Indicator	authors	No.	Stat Data	
1.	Performance	4	1.	Labour resources by education	
2.	Diversity: in system as actors	4	2.	Economic activity rate by age	
3.	Employment	3	3.	Labour resources of the republic of Armenia	
4.	Equity of intra- and inter- gene-rations	4	4.	Employed population by age	
5.	Gender equity	3	5.	Labour resources by age	
6.	Personal versus society preference	3	6.	Employed population by public and non-public sectors of economy	
7.	Society incorporation	3	7.	Employed population by types of economic activity and sectors	
8.	Society programs	2	8.	Job placement of job seekers	
9.	Existence of basic material needs	3	9.	Employed population by sectors of economy	
			10.	Employed women by types of economic activity	
			11.	Employed men by types of economic activity	
			12.	Unemployed population by age	
10	Integration varius approaction	5	13.	Unemployed population by education	
10.	integration versus segregation	5	14.	Unemployment rate by age	
			15.	Unemployment rate by education	
			16.	Officially registered unemployed by sex, age and education	
			17.	Officially registered unemployed by sex	
11.	Well-being of planetary communities	5	18.	Economic activity rate by education	
12.	Opportunities for entertainments	3	19.	Employed population by status in employment	
13.	Affording capacity	2	20.	Employed population by education	
14	Pickings rate	3	21.	Economically inactive population by age	
14.	KISKIIIESS Tate	3	22.	Economically inactive population by education	
15.	Acceptance	3	23.	Employed population by sectors of activities and status in employment	
16	Salf actaom & calf raliance	Λ	24.	Employment rate by age	
10.	Sen-esteenin & sen-renance	7	25.	Employment rate by education	
17.	Balance & centeredness	2	26.	Economically active population by age	
18.	Quality of life	9	27.	Employed population by types of economic activity	
19.	Equal opportunities & non-discrimination	2	28.	Informal employment by activities spheres and status in employment	
			29.	Composition of officially registered unemployed	
20.	Stress	1	30.	Officially registered unemployed by duration of unemployment	
			31.	Officially registered unemployed in rural areas	
21.	Security	7	32.	Officially demand in labour force submitted by employers	
22.	Personal gratification	6	33.	Employed population by agricultural and non-agricultural activities	
23.	Prosperous future	3	34.	Economically active population by education	
24.	Precautionary principle	2	35.	Employed population by groups of economic activities	

**Table 6.** Correspondence of  $S_2$  - ARMNKR indicators with defined CASP indicators.

82

CASP	CASP		ARMNKR		
No.	Indicator	authors	No.	Stat Data	
1.	No. of progressive plans & agendas	5	1.	Organizations provided second stage educational program of higher education	
2.	No of scientists and engineers in R&D -research	3	2.	Number of teaching staff of higher educational institutions	
3.	Scientific paradigm & theories	4	3.	Main indicators of education	
4.	Education supported by governments	8	4.	Higher educational institutions by types of training (the first stage)	
5.	Experience	2	5.	General education schools according to the level of implementing education programs	
6	Farsooing learning	3	6.	Shifts of studies in general education schools	
0.	raiseeing leanning	5	7.	Middle vocational educational institutions by types of training	
7	Ranking of schools	2	8.	Schools of general education	
7.	Kuiking of schools	2	9.	Graduates of general education schools	
			10.	Pre-school institutions	
			11.	Number of pupils in general education schools by the language of training	
			12.	Number of teachers of preliminary (vocational) education institutions	
8.	School enrolment rate	1	13.	Number of teachers of middle vocational educational institutions	
			14.	Enrolment in the preliminary (vocational) education institutions by specialty groups	
			15.	Enrolment in the middle vocational educational institutions by specialty groups	
9.	Thinking	1	16.	Enrolment in the higher educational institutions by specialty groups (the first stage)	
10.	Prosperity	2	17.	Production of books and brochures, magazines and newspapers	
11	No. of librarios	1	18.	Libraries	
11.	No. of horaries	1	19.	Main indicators of libraries' activity	
12.	Effective monitors	4	20.	Number of foreign students in higher educational institutions of RA (the first stage)	
13.	Environmental study program	7	21.	Number of teachers and students in the schools of general education	
14.	Visiting area	3	22. 23.	Museums Theatres	

Table 7. Correspondence of S<sub>3</sub> - ARMNKR indicators with defined CASP indicators.

#### *Table 8.* Correspondence of $S_4$ - ARMNKR indicators with defined CASP indicators.

CASP		# of	ARM	NKR
No.	Indicator	authors	No.	Stat Data
1.	No. of progressive plans & agendas	5	1.	Domestic costs for research and development
2.	No of scientists and engineers in R&D	3	2.	Number of organizations engaged in research and development
3.	Scientific paradigm & theories	4	3.	Enrolment, admission and graduation of post-graduates
4.	Science	4	4.	Volume of scientific and technical works
5.	Prosperity	2		
6.	Creativity, innovation & inspiration	3	5.	Performance of scientific- and-technical works
7.	Qualifications	2		
8.	Fair & effective decision-making (DM)	4	6.	Number of employees of organizations engaged in scientific researches and developments
9	Computers & Internet	1		
	Effective monitors	1	7.	Enrolment, admission and graduation of post-graduates by science fields
10.	Information & technology	6		

**Table 9.** Correspondence of  $S_5$  - ARMNKR indicators with defined CASP indicators.

CASP		# of outhour	ARMNKR	
No.	Indicator	# of authors	No.	Stat Data
			1	Exports and imports of the Republic of Armenia by groups of goods by CIS countries
1	Importa la overata	2	1.	and other countries of world
1.	imports & exports	3	2.	Structure export and import of RA
			3.	Export and import of RA by countries
			4.	Share of main trade partners - exports of RA
2.	Market activities	5	5.	Share of main trade partners - imports of RA
		6.	6.	balance of payments of the RA
			7.	International investment position of RA
			8.	Gross external debt & assets positions of RA
2	Invoctment	11	9.	Inflows and stocks of foreign total investment by countries in RA
5.	mvestment	11	10.	Inflows and stocks of foreign direct investment (FDI) by countries in RA
			11.	Inflows and stocks of foreign total investment by type of activity of RA
			12.	Inflows and stocks of foreign direct investment by type of activity of RA

CASP	CASP		ARMNKR	
No.	Indicator	# of authors	No.	Stat Data
1.	Governmental transport services	9	1.	Main indicators of general purpose transport
			2.	Share of cargo transportation by separate types in total volume of cargo transportation
2.	No. of cars	3	3.	Share of cargo turnover by separate types of transport in total volume of cargo turnover
			4.	Indicators of taxi work
3.	Pedestrian areas	1	5.	Share of passenger transportation by separate types of transport in total volume of passenger transportation
			6.	Share of passenger turnover by separate types of transport in total volume of passenger turnover
	Governmental transport coverage	9	7.	Share of exported, imported and intra-republican freights transportation by types of general purpose transport
4.			8.	Shipment by types by general purpose railway transport
			9.	Share of shipments by types by general purpose railway transport
5.	Motorization rate	3	10.	Revenues from the auxiliary and subsidiary transport activity
6.	Accident rate	3	11.	Traffic accidents
			12.	Main indicators of communication
	Communication & mobilization	4	13.	Revenues from communication services
7.			14.	Fixed assets in the sphere of communication organizations
			15.	Density of communication ways on the territory of the republic
			16.	Operational length of communication ways
8.	Presence of roads	8	17.	Length of general purpose public roads by type of surface
9.	Service provision	4	18.	Availability of fixed assets in post and courier organizations
			19.	Revenues and expenditures from passenger transportation
10.	Travel distance	5	20.	Revenues and expenditures from freight transportation
			21.	Total revenues and expenditures from passenger transportation
			22.	Indicators of post and courier activities
11.	Infrastructure	5	23.	Length of general purpose public roads of intergovernmental, republican and local importance

 Table 10. Correspondence of  $S_6$  - ARMNKR indicators with defined CASP indicators.

# 5. Discussions

*Pictures 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 & 16* are the representations of Extracted CASP Indicators for Society (S) Magnitude as per number of authors with ARMSTAT (2015) and NKRSTAT (2015) Indicators.





Picture 11. S<sub>1</sub>.

**Picture 12.** S<sub>2</sub>.







Picture 15. S5.

The current paper is dedicated to show the most important ARMSTAT (2015) or NKRSTAT (2015) indicators as per appearance of Extracted CASP indicators with AMRSTAT (2015) or NKRSTAT (2015) according to authors opinion in the literature review. Three (3) main indicators are as per each category of Society (S) Magnitude with numbers of appearances in the sequence for AMRSTAT (2015) or NKRSTAT (2015) indicators as:

#### (1) S<sub>1</sub> - Demography - Humans:

- 1. Residency changes or density
- 2. Birth rate
- 4. Marriages & homes
- (2) S<sub>2</sub> Society Concerns Employment
  - 10. Integration versus segregation
  - 14. Riskiness rate
  - 16. Self-esteem & self-reliance
- (3)  $S_3$  Knowledge in Practice Education
  - 6. Farseeing learning
  - 7. Ranking of schools
  - 14. Visiting area



Picture 14. S<sub>4</sub>.



Picture 16. S<sub>6</sub>.

- (4) S<sub>4</sub> Space Science Science
  - 1. No. of progressive plans & agendas
  - 3. Scientific paradigm & theories
  - 8. Fair & effective decision-making (DM)
- (5) S<sub>5</sub> Political Performance Economic Activities
  - 1. Imports & exports
  - 2. Market activities
  - 3. Investment
- (6)  $S_6$  Transport Transport and Communication
  - 4. Governmental transport coverage
  - 7. Communication & mobilization
  - 10. Travel distance

#### 6. Actualization

The illustration of CASP indicators is provided through extracted CASP indicators as per number of authors with ARMSTAT (2015) and NKRSTAT (2015) indicators in *Table 11*.

Table 11. Indicators	representation	per authors.
----------------------	----------------	--------------

Catagory	Num of Indicato	Num of Arithan			
Category	CASP	Extracted CASP	ARM-NKR Stat	- Num of Authors	
S <sub>1</sub>	13	11	20	30	
S <sub>2</sub>	45	24	35	35	
S <sub>3</sub>	20	14	23	24	
$S_4$	32	10	7	16	
S <sub>5</sub>	16	3	12	27	
S <sub>6</sub>	13	11	23	25	

Petrosyan (2015a) presents the Approximate Main Coordinate Proportion (AMCP) in Picture 17and Approximate iNdicative Coordinate Proportion (ANCP) in Picture 18 concepts with the following computations:

 $AMCP = \frac{Num \ CASP \ Indicators}{Num \ Authors}$ 

 $ANCP = \frac{Num \ CASP \ Indicators}{Num \ ARMSTAT \ Indicators}$ 

The current paper examines the Approximate Main Difference in Coordinate Proportion (AMDCP) in Picture 19and Approximate iNdicative Difference in Coordinate Proportion (ANDCP) in Picture 20 concepts with the following computations:

$$AMDCP = \frac{Num \ CASP \ Indicators - Num \ Extracted \ CASP \ Indicators Num \ Authors$$

 $ANDCP = \frac{Num CASP Indicators - Num Extracted CASP Indicators}{Num Extracted CASP Indicators}$ 





Picture 18. ANCP.

Petrosyan (2015a)

1.60

1.40

1.20

1.00

0.80

0.60

0.40

0.20 0.00

Picture 17. AMCP.

AMDEP





53



# 7. Conclusion

51

\$2

80% of ARMSTAT (2015) with NKRSTAT (2015) has a fit to CASP indicators for Society (S) Magnitude. S<sub>2</sub> and S<sub>5</sub> categories have an approximate fit of ARMSTAT (2015) with NKRSTAT (2015) indicators to CASP indicators for Society (S) Magnitude. AMCP & AMDCP curves have almost the similar presentation. ANCP & ANDCP have similar curves as well. AMCP coincides with ANCP in S<sub>4</sub> category. AMDCP

match up with ANDCP in S<sub>4</sub> category. S<sub>4</sub> category stands for Space Science as a concept of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and Remote Sensing (RS) application whereas the concept of satellite with taking pictures of the earth is represented in Picture 21.

28% is computed as 1/3 of mid value of Society, Economy and Environment (SEN) in percentages within the Armenian and Nagorno-Karabakh, i.e. Artsakh, regions for the Society (S) Magnitude of CASP.

Num ARMSTAT Indicators



Picture 21. Space Science (S<sub>4</sub>) in Remote Sensing (RS) Concept.

#### Recommendation

Main recommendation is to use the concept of Biodiversity in terms of Science, Industries and Politics in the Armenian and Nagorno-Karabakh, i.e. Artsakh, regions for the Society (S) Magnitude of CASP. View of policy makers participation as per interrelations of biodiversity stages is depicted in *Picture 22* for Society (S) magnitude of CASP.



Picture 22. View of policy makers participationas per interrelations of biodiversity stages for Society (S) magnitude of CASP.



Picture 23. Final view of policy makers involvements as per biodiversity concept for Society (S) magnitude of CASP.

Political Economy should play a key role in the assessment of CASP through biodiversity concept. Politicians as policy makers with professors as organizers plays an important role to manage through categorization of the whole Society (S) magnitude of CASP. Space science as a part of whole science should emphasize on the science of transportation through means of communication and knowledge. Scientific education should correspond to a key role of humans to lead the science through industries and innovations. *Picture 23* represents the final view of CASP as per biodiversity concept with political economy and educative involvements as a function of policy makers for the Society (S) magnitude of CASP.

#### References

- [1] ARMSTAT (2015). *National Statistical Service of the Republic of Armenia*. Website available at: http://armstat.am/en/
- [2] Arakelyan, M., Parham, J., (2008). The Geographic Distribution of Turtles in Armenia and the Nagorno-Karabakh Republic (Artsakh). Chelonian Conservation & Biology 7(1): 70–77.
- [3] Bagheri, A., Hjorth, P., (2007). *Planning for sustainable development: a paradigm shift towards a process-based approach*. SD 15 (2): 83-96.
- [4] Deriglazova, L, Minasyan, S., (2011). Nagorno-Karabakh: the Paradoxes of Strength and Weakness in an Asymmetric Confilct. Caucasus Institute Research Papers, # 3, June 2011. –Yerevan: Caucasus Institute: 104pp.
- [5] Gordon, E.A., Franco, O.E, Tyrrell, M.L., (2005). Protecting Biodiversity: A Guide to Criteria Used by Global Conservation Organizations. YaleSchool of Forestry & Environmental Studies. pp: 164.
- [6] Korhonen, J., (2003). Should we measure corporate social responsibility?Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management 10 (1): 25-39.
- [7] Nijkamp, P., Vreeker, R., (2000). Sustainability assessment of development scenarios: methodology and application to Thailand. EcologicalEconomics 33 (1): 7-27.
- [8] NKRSTAT (2015). National Statistical Service of Nagorno-Karabakh Republic. Website available at: http://www.stat-nkr.am/en/
- [9] Nunes, P.A.L.D., Van den Bergh, J.C.J.M., Nijkamp, P. (2000). *Ecological–Economic Analysis and Valuation of Biodiversity*. Tinbergen InstituteDiscussion Paper: pp. 32.

- [10] OECD Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, (2001a). Valuation of Biodiversity Benefits. Selected Studies. Paris.
- [11] Opdam, P., Steingröver, E., van Rooij, S., (2006). Ecological networks: A spatial concept for multi-actor planning of sustainable landscapes.Landscape and Urban Planning 75 (3-4): 322-332.
- [12] Pearce, D.W., Moran, D., (1994). *The economic value of biodiversity*. IUCN Biodiversity Programmed The World Conservation Unit. pp. 183.
- [13] Petrosyan Azniv (2014), Appraising Biodiversity in Supportive Progress Using GIS Means, LAP LAMBERT, Academic Publishing Company in Saarbrucken, Germany, ISBN: 978-3-659-34415-2, 668 pp.
- [14] Petrosyan, A. F., (2015a). Spontaneous Estimation of Biodiversity in Composite Appraising Supportive Progress (CASP) of Armenia. Portuguese Association for Regional Development (www.apdr.pt), Regional Science Association International (www.regionalscience.org). Summer Course in Armenia, Interdisciplinary Analysis and Polices for Regional Sustainability, (http://www.armenia2015.regionalscience.org/) 1th – 5<sup>th</sup> June, 2015, TezhLer Resort, CBA - Central Bank of Armenia, Dilijan, Armenia.
- [15] Petrosyan, A.F. (2015b). Procedures Designing Composite Progressive Indicators, International Journal of Econometrics and Financial Management, Science and Education Publishing 3 (2): 104-109.
- [16] Petrosyan, A.F., (2010). A Model for Incorporated Measurement of Sustainable Development Comprising Remote Sensing Data and Using the Concept of Biodiversity. Journal of Sustainable Development 3 (2): 9-26.
- [17] Petrosyan, A.F., (2005). Economic valuation of biodiversity loss: the case of Mediterranean forest. Participation on the sixth meeting of the "Développementd'Actions pour le Marketing et la Gestion post-évènements"- DAMAGE. Athens, Greece, October.
- [18] Vener, B.B., Campana, M.E., (2010). Conflict and cooperation in the South Caucasus: the Kura-Araks Basin of Armenia, Azerbaijan, and Georgia. In M. Arsel and M. Spoor (eds.), Water, Environmental Security and Sustainable Rural Development: Conflict and Cooperation in Central Eurasia. Oxford, UK: Routledge, pp. 144-174.
- [19] Walton JS, El-Haram M, Castillo NH, Horner RMW, Price ADF, Hardcastle C., (2005). *Integrated assessment of urban sustainability*. Engineering Sustainability 158 (2):57–65.