

# The Association and Complex Formation Constants for CuSO<sub>4</sub>, NiSO<sub>4</sub> Stiochiometric Complexes with (E)-N'-(2-hydroxy-3H-indol-3-ylidene)-3-oxo-3-(Thiazol-2-Ylamino) Propanehydrazide in Ethanol Solutions at 294.15K

K. M. Ibrahim	Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt	
E. A. Gomaa <sup>*</sup>	Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt	
R. R. Zaki	Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt	
M. Nabil Abd El-Hadi	Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt	
Received: April 3, 2016; Accepted: April 11, 2016; Published: June 21, 2016		

## **Keywords**

Association, Complex Formation, Copper Sulfate, Nickel Sulfate, Propanehydrazide, Ethanol

The association constants and Gibbs free energies of association are calculated from the conductometric titration curve of CuSO<sub>4</sub>, NiSO<sub>4</sub> with ligand H<sub>2</sub>IH, (E)-3-(2-benzylidene hydrazinyl)-3-oxo-N-(thiazol-2-yl) propanehydrazide in ethanol solutions at 294.15K. The conductometric titration of CuSO<sub>4</sub> and NiSO<sub>4</sub> as titrant against the ligand (N'-(2-hydroxy-3H-indol-3-ylidene)-3-oxo-3-(thiazol-2-ylamino) propanehydrazide) in absolute ethanol as solvent at 294.15 K was constructed to evaluate the Gibbs free energies involving the different association constants. From the relation between molar conductivity and the molar ratio [L]/[M], various straight lines are gained detecting that the production of metal complexes with two stiochiometric which are 2:1 and 1:1 [L]/[M]. Gibbs free energies involving the formation constants for each stiochiometric type of complexes were calculated and their values were discussed. Preparation of new ligand is necessary for estimation and physicochemical studies of some transition metal ions like copper and nickel ions in absolute ethanol solutions. Many biological applications for copper and nickel salts are needed; therefore their estimation and conductometric studies were selected.

# Introduction

Recently, there are many interest articles concerned with the development of ligands that are able to selective to bind metal ions through multiple non-covalent interactions [1]. Copper (II) and Nickel (II) ions are involved in metalloproteinase. For example, metallo-enzymes belong to metalloproteinase which performed a specific catalytic function. There are three known classes of dioxygen transport proteins involved in respiration which are the haemoglobin-myoglobin family, haemocyanines and hemerythrins. These metal ions may differ from one organism to another. The concentrations of metal ions are very important for the normal function of biological systems. An excess of Cu(II) and Ni(II) may include thalaseamia disease [2, 3].

The aim of this work is the evaluation of non-covalent behavior of  $CuSO_4$  and  $NiSO_4$  against the ligand (H<sub>2</sub>IH) in absolute ethanol solvent at 294.15 K. These non-covalent behavior can provide us with information about the analysis of metal salts in the biological systems.

# Experimental

#### **Prepartion of the Ligand**

The ligand was prepared by mixing equimolar amounts of 3-hydrazinyl-oxo-*N*-(thiazole-2-) propanamide (0.01 mol; 2.00 g) in 40 ml absolute ethanol (Structure 1). This reaction mixture was kept on reflux for three hours. The mixture was concentrated to its half-volume and allowed to cool. The yields were isolated by filtration, recrystallization and drying over anhydrous CaCl<sub>2</sub> in a vacuum desiccator. (M.p.: 235°C) and (yield 75%) respectively. The purity of these compounds was checked by TLC.



N-(2-hydroxy-3H-indol-3-ylidene)-3-oxo-3-(thiazol-2-ylamino)propanehydrazid

Structure 1. (H<sub>2</sub>IH).

#### **Conductometric Titrations**

The conductance of the solid complexes are detected by the preparation of  $10^{-3}$  mol L<sup>-1</sup> solutions in DMSO and measured on a (HANNA, H1 8819 N) conductivity bridge. Also, the conductometric titrations are done using (1x10<sup>-3</sup>) mole/l of metal sulphate solutions (CuSO<sub>4</sub> and NiSO<sub>4</sub>) and (1x10<sup>-4</sup>) mole/l of the ligand (H<sub>2</sub>IH). 20 ml of ligand is taken and titrated against 0.5 ml interval additions from the metal sulphate salts solutions. Both the solutions of ligand and metal salts are prepared in hot ethanol.

## **Results and Discussion**

The molar conductivity ( $\wedge_m$ ) of various concentrations of MSO<sub>4</sub> solutions in presence or absence of the ligand (H<sub>2</sub>IH) were evaluated [4, 5] according to this equation (1):

$$\wedge_{\rm m} = \left[ \left( K_{\rm s} - K_{\rm solv} \right) \times K_{\rm cell} \times 1000 \right] / C \tag{1}$$

Where  $(K_s)$  is the solution's specific conductance,

 $(K_{solv})$  is the solvent's specific conductance,

(K<sub>cell</sub>) is the cell constant which equals to unity,

(C) is the MSO<sub>4</sub> solutions' concentration expressed in molarity.

The  $(\wedge_m)$  of different MSO<sub>4</sub> concentrations in the absence of ligand were studied by plotting  $(\wedge_m)$  versus  $(\sqrt{C})$  (Figure 1) where it is noticed that the linear decreasing of the molar conductance values  $(\wedge_m)$  by increasing the metal concentration. In the same way, the molar conductance  $(\wedge_m)$  of different MSO<sub>4</sub> concentrations in the presence of the ligand were constructed by plotting  $(\wedge_m)$  versus  $(\sqrt{C})$ . In this case, straight lines were obtained (Figure 2). Also the limiting molar conductance  $(\wedge_o)$  at infinite dilutions are estimated for MSO<sub>4</sub> in absence and presence of the ligand by extrapolating the relation between  $(\wedge_m)$  and  $(\sqrt{C})$  to zero concentration for each line. Moreover, the molar conductance  $(\wedge_m)$  was drawn against the molar ratio ([L]/[M]) in the presence of ligand (Figure 3). Where [L] is the ligand concentration and [M] is the metal concentration. Different curves were gained with sharp breaks corresponding to different stoichiometric ratios.

The association constants of  $MSO_4$  in the presence of ligand could be calculated in tables (1 and 4) using equation (2) [6].

$$K_{A} = \Lambda_{o}^{2} (\Lambda_{o} - \Lambda_{m}) / (4C_{m}^{2} + \Lambda_{m}^{3} S_{(Z)})$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Where ( $\wedge_0$ ) are the limiting molar conductance of MSO<sub>4</sub>. C<sub>m</sub> is MSO<sub>4</sub> concentration. S<sub>(Z)</sub> is Fuoss-Shedlovsky factor and equal to unity for strong electrolytes [7]. The Gibbs free energies of association ( $\Delta G_A$ ) [8, 9] were calculated from the association constant values by equation (3).

$$\Delta G_{\rm A} = -R T \ln K_{\rm A} \tag{3}$$

Where R is the gas constant and T is the absolute temperature.

The formation constants (K<sub>f</sub>) for  $MSO_4$  – ligand complexes can be calculated for each line in ([L]/[M]) relation against ( $\land_m$ ). Equation (4) is used for calculating the formation constants for the complexes [10-20].

$$\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{f}} = \bigwedge_{\mathrm{m}} - \bigwedge_{\mathrm{obs}} / \left(\bigwedge_{\mathrm{obs}} - \bigwedge_{\mathrm{ML}}\right) [\mathrm{L}] \tag{4}$$

Where  $(\Lambda_m)$  is the molar conductivity of the metal sulphate before addition of the ligand,  $(\Lambda_{obs})$  is the molar conductance of solution during titration and  $(\Lambda_{ML})$  is the molar conductance of the complexed ion. Also, the Gibbs free energies of formation [21-30] for each MSO<sub>4</sub> complexes with ligand were calculated in Tables (2, 3, 5, 6) using equation (5).

$$\Delta G_{f} = -R T \ln K_{f}$$
(5)

The formation constants and Gibbs free energies of formation follow the following order  $K_f(2:1) > K_f(1:1)$  and  $\Delta G_f(2:1) > \Delta G_f(1:1)$  for ([L]/[M]) in the stoichiometric complexes formed by the interaction of MSO<sub>4</sub> with (H<sub>2</sub>IH) ligand (Structure 2, 3). The association free energies evaluated are small and spontaneous improving that the presence of electrostatic attraction force in the solution.



*Structure 2.* 2:1 (*H*<sub>2</sub>*IH*: *M*) stiochiometric complex may exist as the above structure.



*Structure 3.* 1:1 (H<sub>2</sub>IH: M) stiochiometric complex may exist as the above structure.



*Figure 1.* The relation between molar conductance and  $(\sqrt[4]{C})$  of (A) CuSO<sub>4</sub> alone and (B) NiSO<sub>4</sub> alone in ethanol at 294.15 K.



(A)



(B)

Figure 2. The relation between molar conductance and ( $\sqrt{C}$ ) of (A) CuSO<sub>4</sub> and (B) NiSO<sub>4</sub> in the presence of (H<sub>2</sub>IH) in ethanol at 294.15 K



*Figure 3.* The relation between molar conductance and ( $\sqrt{C}$ ) of (A) CuSO<sub>4</sub> and (B) NiSO<sub>4</sub> in the presence of (H<sub>2</sub>IH) in ethanol at 294.15 K.

$\wedge_{\rm m}(\rm cm^2.Ohm^{-1})$	C <sub>m</sub> (mol.L <sup>-1</sup> )	K <sub>A</sub>	$\Delta G_A(kJ/mol)$	
11.47	1.84E-04	1.058	-137.57	
11.27	2.00E-04	1.1562	-355.102	
11.13	2.16E-04	1.2287	-503.809	
10.99	2.31E-04	1.3027	-646.864	
10.94	2.45E-04	1.3326	-702.215	
10.77	2.59E-04	1.4386	-889.475	
10.74	2.73E-04	1.457	-920.546	

*Table 1.* Association constants and Gibbs free energies of association for  $CuSO_4$  with ( $H_2IH$ ) in ethanol at 294.15K.

 $\Lambda_0 = 15 \text{ cm}^2.0\text{hm}^{-1}$ 

\_

Table 2. Formation constants and Gibbs free energies of formation for 2:1 (M/L) CuSO<sub>4</sub>-(H<sub>2</sub>IH) complexes in ethanol at 294.15K.

∧ <sub>obs</sub> (cm <sup>2</sup> .Ohm <sup>-1</sup> )	[L]	K <sub>f</sub>	$\Delta G_{f}$ (kJ/mol)
11.27	8.00E-05	8.33E-05	22971.55
11.13	7.84E-05	7.56E-05	23207.93
10.99	7.69E-05	6.92E-05	23.423.78
10.94	7.55E-05	6.62E-05	23532.56
10.77	7.41E-05	5.99E-05	23777.77

 $\wedge_{M} = 13 \text{ cm}^{2}.\text{ohm}^{-1}, \ \wedge_{ML} = 11.2 \text{ cm}^{2}.\text{ohm}^{-1}$ 

Table 3. Formation constants and Gibbs free energies of formation for 1:1 (M/L) CuSO4-(H2AH) complexes in ethanol at 294.15K.

$\wedge_{obs}$ (cm <sup>2</sup> .Ohm <sup>-1</sup> )	[L]	K <sub>f</sub>	ΔG <sub>f</sub> (kJ/mol)
10.73	7.14E-05	7.89E-05	23103.76
10.64	7.02E-05	7.46E-05	23242.06
10.61	6.90E-05	7.22E-05	23321.41
10.55	6.78E-05	7.22E-05	23321.86
10.52	6.67E-05	6.74E-05	23490.22

 $\Lambda_{\rm M} = 13 \text{ cm}^2.\text{ohm}^{-1}, \Lambda_{\rm ML} = 10.5 \text{ cm}^2.\text{ohm}^{-1}$ 

Table 4. Association constants and Gibbs free energies of association for  $NiSO_4$  with ( $H_2IH$ ) in ethanol at 294.15K.

$\wedge_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{cm}^2.\mathbf{Ohm}^{-1})$	C <sub>m</sub> (mol.L <sup>-1</sup> )	K <sub>A</sub>	$\Delta G_A(kJ/mol)$
19.6	1.84E-04	1.188	-421.387
20.69	2.00E-04	1.2217	-489.84
22.46	2.16E-04	1.3322	-701.521
22.47	2.31E-04	1.3415	-718.566
23.46	2.45E-04	1.3713	-874.978
25.74	2.59E-04	1.4301	-931.571
26.82	2.73E-04	1.4636	-944.351

 $\Lambda_0 = 10 \text{ cm}^2.0\text{hm}^{-1}$ 

Table 5. Formation constants and Gibbs free energies of formation for 2:1 (M/L) NiSO4-(H2IH) complexes in ethanol at 294.15K.

$\wedge_{obs}$ (cm <sup>2</sup> .Ohm <sup>-1</sup> )	[L]	K <sub>f</sub>	$\Delta G_{f}$ (kJ/mol)
9.17	8.00E-05	6.46E-05	23592.74
9.02	7.84E-05	6.05E-05	23752.95
9	7.69E-05	5.78E-05	23864.93
8.88	7.55E-05	5.25E-05	24099.93
8.83	7.41E-05	5.78E-05	24114.84

 $\wedge_{\rm M} = 11 \text{ cm}^2.\text{ohm}^{-1}, \ \wedge_{\rm ML} = 9.5 \text{ cm}^2.\text{ohm}^{-1}$ 

Table 6. Formation constants and Gibbs free energies of formation for 1:1 (M/L) NiSO4-(H2IH) complexes in ethanol at 294.15K.

$\wedge_{obs}$ (cm <sup>2</sup> .Ohm <sup>-1</sup> )	[L]	K <sub>f</sub>	$\Delta G_{f}$ (kJ/mol)
8.86	7.14E-05	8.18E-05	23016.6
8.81	7.02E-05	7.66E-05	23177.6
8.72	6.90E-05	7.45E-05	23245.7
8.7	6.78E-05	7.38E-05	23266.4
8.67	6.67E-05	7.17E-05	23339.6

 $\wedge_{\rm M} = 11 \text{ cm}^2.\text{ohm}^{-1}, \wedge_{\rm ML} = 8.5 \text{ cm}^2.\text{ohm}^{-1}$ 

## Conclusion

The thermodynamic parameters for the interaction of some transition metals like  $CuSO_4$  and  $NiSO_4$  are necessary to do which facilitate their physicochemical and analytical estimation in ethanol by simple conductance measurements. Non-covalent behavior of ( $CuSO_4$  and  $NiSO_4$ ) with new ligand ( $H_2IH$ ) in ethanol solutions at 294.15 K was carefully discussed. These non-covalent interactions can provide us informations about the analysis of metal salts nature.



### Prof. Dr. Esam A. Gomaa

Prof. of Physical Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University. Special area, Chemical Thermodynamics and Solution Chemistry. Dr. Rer. Nat. from Munich Technical University, Germany on 1982 in Solution Thermodynamics. Got Prof. degree on 1994, His research interests include Thermodynamics, Liquid State and Nano Fluids. Email address: eahgomaa65@yahoo.com



#### Prof. Dr. Kamal M. Ibrahim

Prof. of Inorganic Chemistry .Prof. since 1993. Has got Ph.D. from Mansoura University. Has many publications (more than 100) in inorganic Chemistry and general Chemistry. Has big school for inorganic and transition metal complexes. He teaches basic inorganic chemistry for underground students and advanced Inorganic Chemistry for M. Sc. Students. Reviewer in many inorganic Journals. Email: kamibrahim@mans.edu.eg



#### Dr. Rania Ramadan Zaky

Associate Prof. of Inorganic Chemistry. She got her Ph.D., In 2006 from Mansoura University under supervision of Prof. Kamal M. Ibrahim. In 25/12/2006, Lecture, Chemistry Dept., Faulty of Science. In 30/10/2012, Assistance Prof., Chemistry Dept. Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt. Has many Publications (more than 28) in Inorganic Chemistry. She is reviewer in many scientific journals like, Journal of Molecular Structure, Journal Spectrochimica Acta Part A, Journal of Chemical Papers, Journal of Applied Polymer and Journal of Physical chemistry letters. Email: Rania.zaky@yahoo.com



### Mahmoud Nabil Abd El-Hady

Assistant Lecturer in Inorganic Chemistry in Faculty of Science, Mansoura University. He registered his Ph. D thesis on 17/04/2012 in Mansoura University under the title: Studies on transition metal complexes of some 3-hydrazinyl-oxo-N-(thazol-2yl) propanamide derivatives. He is now preparing himself for Ph.D. final presentation and defense. Email: memo\_nabil2014@yahoo.com

# References

- [1] Zhibo Yang, Ph.D. thesis, Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, U.S.A. (2005).
- [2] M. Di Donato, J. Zhang, Jr Que., Sarka, B. J., Biol. Chem, 277, (2002) 13409.
- [3] J. Anastassopoulou, Anifantakis, B., Anifantakis, Z-A; Dovas, A., Theophanides, T. Bioinorg. Chem., 79, (2000) 327.
- [4] W. Grzybkowski and R. Pastewski; Electrochimica Acta., 25, 279 (1980).
- [5] N. A. El-Shishtawi, M. A. Hamada and E. A. Gomaa, J. Chem. Eng. Data, (2010).
- [6] Manny A. Hamada, Nagah El-Shishtawi and Esam Gomaa, South. Braz. J. Chem, vol. 17, (2009) 33.
- [7] J. J. Christensen, J. O. Hill and R. M. Izatt; Science, 174, (1971) 459.
- [8] A. K. Covington and T. Dickinson, "Physical Chemistry of Organic Solvent System", Plenum Press, London (1973).
- [9] F. I. El-Dossoki, Journal of Molecular Liquids, 142, (2008) 53.
- [10] Y. Tekeda, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, 56, (1983) 3600.
- [11] M. Rahmi-Nasrabadi, F. Ahmedi, S. M. Pourmor-tazavi, M. R., Ganjali, K. Alizadeh, J. Molecular Liquids, 144, (2009) 97.
- [12] Esam A. Gomaa, Physics and Chemistry of Liquids, 50 (2012) 279-283.
- [13] Esam A. Gomaa, International Journal of Materials and Chemistry, 2 (1), (2012) 16–18.
- [14] Esam A. Gomaa, American Journal of Environmental Engineering 2 (3), (2012) 54-57.
- [15] Esam A. Gomaa, American Journalist of Polymer Science 2 (3), (2012) 35-47.
- [16] Esam A. Gomaa, Eur. Chem. Bull, 1 (2013) 259-261.
- [17] Esam A. Gomaa, Elsayed M. Abou Elleef and E. A. Mahmoud, Eur, Chem. Bull, 2 (2013) 732-735.
- [18] Esam A. Gomaa, Elsayed M. Abou Elleef, American Chemical Science Journal, 3 (2013), 489-499
- [19] Esam A. Gomaa, Elsayed M. Abou Elleef, Science and Technology, 3 (2013) 118-122
- [20] Esam A. Gomaa, International Journal of Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, 3 (2012) 151-154.

- [21] Esam A. Gomaa, and B. A. Al- Jahdali, Education, 2 (3), (2012), 25-28.
- [22] Esam A Gomaa, Orient. J. Chem., 6 (1990) 12-16 and E. A. Gomaa, Indian J. of Tech, 24 (1986) 725.
- [23] E. A. Gomaa and G. Begheit, Asian J. of Chem., 2 (1990) 444 and E. A. Gomaa, A. H. El-Askalany and M. N. H. Moussa. Asian J. Chem, 4 (1992) 553.
- [24] Esam A. Gomaa, and, Elsayed M. Abou-Elleef, Thermal and Power Engineering, 3 (2014) 47-55.
- [25] Esam A. Gomaa, Research and Reviews: Journal of Chemistry 3 (2014) 28-37.
- [26] Esam A. Gomaa, A. H. El-Askalany and M, N, H, Moussa, Rev Roum. Chim, 3 (1987) 243.
- [27] Esam A Gomaa, Theromochimica Acta, 128 (1988) 99.
- [28] Esam A Gomaa, Indian J. of Tech., 24 (1986) 725 and E. A. Gomaa. Thermochim. Acta, 80 (1984) 355.
- [29] Esam A Gomaa, Croatica Chimica Acta, 62 (1989) 475.
- [30] E. A. Gomaa, A. M. Shallapy and M. N. H. Moussa. Asian J. of Chem., 4, (1992) 518.