Wine in Graeco-Roman Antiquity with Emphasis on Its Effect on Health

Francois P. Retief, Louise Cilliers

Dept of Greek, Latin and Classical Studies, University of the Free State, Bloemfontein, South Africa

Email address
clouise@mweb.co.za (L. Cilliers)

Citation

Abstract
Homer, eight century BC, already described the stimulating and inebriating effect of wine, and during the Graeco-Roman era, Greece originated wine production, which was later introduced to Rome. Grapes were crushed in various stages, the first traditionally being by treading feet. The resulting must was fermented for two to four weeks and then stored in large amphoras. Wine stored for a year or longer was accepted as “old” wine. Large numbers of flavouring techniques and agents were used to improve or change the taste of wine. Wine had a social, religious and medical role in public life, which set it apart from other Graeco-Roman cuisines. Hippocrates, responsible for most medically orientated Greek writing on wine, considered wine the most pleasant of all remedies for body and soul, taken internally and even applied externally. His medical contemporaries stressed the importance of not prescribing wine indifferently. For each therapeutic regime, the quantity, nature and quality of wine had to be decided on, with reference to the patient and his disease, temperament, constitution and dietary habits. Complications produced by wine were extensively described by the ancients.

1. Introduction

In the Neolithic age alcohol (wines and beers) replaced hallucinogenic drugs like hemp in the social life of man. In the Graeco-Roman era wine production was originated by Greece and later introduced to Rome (Rutten 1997: 50-55). In the 8th century BC Homer (Od. 21:293) wrote:

“That same wine has softened you sweetly, wine which has always harmed men when they drink to the depths, abandoning the measure.”

In similar vein Theognis1 wrote in the 6th century BC:

“Excess drinking of wine is an evil; but if a person drinks it wisely, then not an evil, a good thing.”

In this study the role subsequently played by wine in Greece and Rome is reviewed, with emphasis on its considered effect on health. An idea of its popularity may be gained from the fact that in the “Golden Age” of the Roman Empire over 180 million litres of wine were consumed annually – about one bottle of wine each day for every citizen (Phillips 2000: 35-35).

---

2. Viticulture

Wine was originally produced from wild grapes, *vitis vinifera*, which grew throughout the Mediterranean, as well as in Europe north of the Alps. Enzymes of wild yeasts which naturally collected on the skin of the grapes, acted as fermenting agent in breaking down the grape’s sugars to form alcohol and wine. In Graeco-Roman antiquity wine production originated in Greece and Tuscany. In due course viticulture expanded to Italy, Carthage and to regions of the Roman Empire, including Gaul, Germany, Spain and Britannia. Although Greek wines were always held in high regard, significant literature on wine-production originated with the Romans and the Carthaginian, Mago. Cato the Elder (3rd/2nd century BC) produced his *De Agri cultura*, which was a pioneering work on the grouping of vines and making of wine. The *De re rustica* of Columella (1st century AD) and the *Naturalis Historia* of Pliny the Elder (1st century AD) were further excellent publications, in addition to Palladius’s *Opus Agriculturae* in the 4th century AD. In due course new vine cultures, mainly subtypes of *vitis vinifera*, were developed, including the Aminian, Nomentan and Appian in Italy and in Greece the Graecula, Eugenia and Chian subtypes (Johnson 1989: 59-63; Phillips 2000: 35-45; Robinson 2006: 589-590).

2.1. Wine Production

Greek and Roman wine production was essentially similar. Grapes were harvested when considered optimally mature for the wine type to be prepared. For sweeter wines, grapes were allowed as much sunshine as possible. The grapes were then crushed in various stages. The first stage was traditionally by treading feet, and wine originating from this procedure was considered the tastiest and also the most active from a medical point of view. This was followed by one to three further crushing procedures under wooden beams. The final product was the least pleasant, coarse and with a high tannic content.

The must from the pressing procedure was poured into large earthenware jars (*dolias*) usually with volumes in excess of 1000 litres, where it was left for the fermentation process, lasting two to four weeks. The wine was then poured into storage vessels, called *amphorae*. Small holes were sometimes bored into the top of *amphorae* to allow carbon dioxide to escape. *Amphorae* were never filled to the top. In the space above the wine, boiled grape-must mixed with saffron or raisin-wine was poured, before the stopper was sealed. Wines were sometimes heated (“baked”) to give special flavour similar to modern Madeira wines. To enhance the flavour, chalk or marble dust could be added to reduce acidity. A portion of the must could be boiled and then again added to the rest of the fermenting batch to enhance sweetness; this wine was called *defructum*. Lead and honey was sometimes added as sweetening agents. Roman laws codified “old” and “new” wines – “old” was older than one year. Wine diluted with sour wine was called *posca* and reserved for soldiers (usually a ration of 1 litre a day). *Lora* was more diluted wine and kept for slaves. These were usually red wines, as white wines were considered more appropriate for the upper classes. Wine sweetened with honey was called *mulsum*, and wine flavoured with herbs and spices was *conditum*. *Retsina* was wine to which a sprinkling of pitch had been added during fermentation. In earlier antiquity distillation of alcoholic drinks was unknown. Wine was thus the strongest alcoholic drink available, with a concentration reaching 14-16%. Beer was popular, made from grain soaked in water. Grape juice and must was also drunk as such. *Kykeon* was a musky wine mixture containing cheese, flour and honey. *Raisin wine* came from Crete. *Absinthum romanum* consisted of wine containing *artemesia absinthium* and a light oil. It was mildly hallucinogenic (Phillips 2000: 35-45, 46-56; Johnson 1989: 59-63, 68-74). Dioscorides (*De materia medica* V.32-73) described a large number of flavours popularly added to wine: aromatic substances like myrrh, nectarion, nard, valerian, thyme, marjoram, catnip, lavender, landcress, hyssop, juniper, parsnip, sage, celery, parsley, scamming, tastes from trees like olive, sycamore, ticus, turpentine, myrtle, cedar, pomegranate, pear, rose and quince.

2.2. Kinds of Wine

Undiluted wine was called *merum*, but rarely imbibed as such. It was said to be taken only by provincials and barbarians. Wine was normally diluted with cold or warm water (even sea water) in the proportion of 1:3:4 for the Greeks and 1:2 for the Romans. In the Roman heyday wine was quite expensive. For a pint of ordinary wine one paid the equivalent price of one ass – for the best wine this rose to 4 asses. A loaf of bread cost 2 asses. Greek wine was normally most highly prized – Roman wine commanding lower prices (Rutten 1997: 5-7).

Pliny the Elder states that from Hippocratic times doctors used wines which were “a product of art and not nature” (*Naturalis Historia* XIV.80). In a catalogue of wines from Hippocratic writings (5th century BC) one finds a differentiation based on colour (white, clear, dark, straw-coloured), consistency as tested on the palate (thin or concentrated, light or full, hard or soft, smooth or sharp), smell (odorous, with honey smell, or without smell) and age (young or old). Galen (2nd century AD) was critical of the Hippocratic catalogue, finding it incomplete and lacking order. He differentiated five (not four) categories of wine, based on colour, taste, consistency, odour and property. Medical practitioners of the time commonly associated specific wines with different therapeutic procedures (Jouanna 2012: 179-180).

The 2nd century BC saw the “Golden Age” of Roman
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wine-making. The famous vintage of 121 BC was outstanding, known as the Opimian vintage after the consul Lucius Opimius. Remarkable for its abundant harvest, many of the vintage’s best examples were still enjoyed over a century later. The outstanding wine was a white wine, Phalernian, which became a historic wine. Throughout this era sweet white wines remained the most popular. Pliny reported that in his day wines 200 years old were in existence and enjoyable.4

We have record of the following wines produced during the Graeco-Roman era:


3. Uses of Wine

Wine had social, religious and medical roles which set it apart from other Graeco-Roman cuisine.

The Greek social wine culture gradually gave birth to the Roman wine culture. Although early in history Rome was “dry” by Greek standards, this situation changed during the Empire (1st – 5th century AD). Gradually wine was increasingly seen as a necessity – a way to show off wealth and prestige, but not at all restricted to the elite. In early Rome access of wine to women, young men and slaves was restricted, but in its “Golden Age” its use exceeded that of Greece. The intention of the Greek symposium was to imbibe enough wine to enjoy a mind released from inhibitions and with conversation stimulated. At its Roman counterpart, the convivium, there was a tendency to get drunk more blatantly.5 Wine was usually mixed with water which would have been cold, and served in pitchers which would also have had a cooling effect, whether red or white wine. In winter, though, the wine was often mixed with inter alia honey and served hot, whether red or white.

Wine also had a religious role to play. By the 2nd century BC the cult of Bacchus was active in Greece and Rome, but its festivals, known for excessive wine consumption and said to include animal sacrifices and sexual orgies, were progressively censured by the Roman Senate. In 186 BC the Bacchanalia was banned. Wine was never poured on funeral pyres. Rome assimilated two religions which viewed wine in generally positive terms – Judaism and Christianity. In the Torah grape vines were some of the first crops planted after the Great Flood, and on settling in Canaan after the exodus from Egypt. Many of the Jewish views were adopted by the Christian religion originating in the 1st century AD. One of the first miracles performed by Jesus was turning water into wine. The crucial sacraments of the Holy Communion and Eucharist involved the use of wine (Phillips 2000: 57-63).

4. Harmfull Effects of Wine

The following section records the effects of wine on the human body as reported by writers during Graeco-Roman antiquity.

As early as the 8th century BC Homer (Od. 21.293), and in the 6th century BC Theognis (ap. Galen K.IV.778) described the stimulating and inebriating effects of wine on man.

Hippocrates (5th century BC) is the richest source of medical writings on wine in the Greek era. He believed that wine was nourishing and a tonic for man, but its effect changed with the imbiber’s age and temperament. He wrote that, based on the humoral theory, wine was considered hot and dry, compared to water which was cold and wet. It thus heated tissues and had a specific effect on the head and cavities of the body, the “lower cavity” (abdomen) in particular. An excess of wine could cause diarrhoea as its dregs were considered purgative. This was particularly common among active athletes subjected to a diet rich in meat and wine. Non-specific abdominal pains could also be attributed to wine. Excess wine could cause an outbreak of hepatitis or jaundice. Sweet wine could cause swelling of the liver and spleen and brought on thirst in bilious persons. It could lead to long-lasting flatulence in the upper intestinal track, but not the lower intestine. Sweet wine acted as expectorant. Hard and light white wine mixed with water, could cause constipation. Wine could precipitate diuresis, soft wine less so than strong white wine. Rarely wine could cause disease of the “upper cavity” (thorax), such as empyema, erysipelas of the lung, pleurisy and varieties of pneumonia (Jouanna 2012: 176-181, 183).

Hippocratic doctors showed a particular interest in the effect of wine on the head, for which it seemed to have a predilection. Excessive wine caused heating of the head with a resultant feeling of heaviness, headache, intoxication and even death. Intoxication was treated as a form of illness, not a social disgrace, and never condemned in the Hippocratic Corpus. When the head was warm for any reason, it attracted wine (Jouanna 2012: 180-183). Hippocrates left various case histories describing the effects of severe intoxication – some ended in death. Case histories repeatedly described aphasia, delirium and madness as symptoms of intoxication (Epidemics 3.2.5; 4.15; Diseases 2.22).

Dioscorides (1st century AD) wrote quite extensively on the harmful effects of wine, and specified the specific harm caused by individual wines. He said that old wines were very pleasant to the taste, but harmful to nerves and sense organs. New wine was diuretic, caused flatulence and gave bad
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dreams. Red wine more than white wine, engendered indigestion and drunkenness. Sweet wine caused abdominal distension. Dry wine was diuretic and caused headache. Wine containing gypsum damaged the nervous system and bladder. Concentrated wine caused headache, flatulence, gastritis and drunkenness. Wines from the Adriatic coast caused protracted inebriation. Asiatic wines from Mount Tmolos caused headache and damaged the nerves. Wines containing sea water inflamed the belly and harmed nerves. Heavy and dark wines damaged the stomach, caused flatulence and were fattening (De materia medica V.6-9).

Soranus (1st/2nd century AD) warned that heavy drinking disturbs the attachment of seed to the uterus, and also delays wound healing. A wet nurse should not drink wine because it harmed her soul and body, and contaminated her milk intended for the infant in her care. The contaminated milk could cause infantile tremor, coma, apoplexy and convulsions (Gynaecia 1.38; 2.19).

Galen (2nd century AD) left a significant contribution on the topic of wine in health care, but it is probable that much of Galen’s work is partially derived from Hippocrates’ writings. Galen agreed with Plato, with Cretans and Spartans that soldiers, magistrates on duty, helmsmen, practicing jurors and council members should not drink wine while on duty, as it could harm their mental abilities. Wine played an important role in the production of blood. Clear, transparent, yellow wines were the least dangerous. Thick black and important role in the production of blood. Clear, transparent, yellow wines were the least dangerous. Thick black and

Excellent wines like Phalernian, Lesbian and Theran wines also thickened humours, and could damage diuresis. In lung disease wine was a good expectorant, soft white wine better so than strong wine. When thin individuals wished to promote vomiting in winter months, they should take a complex mixture of three wines (full, soft and sharp), after ingesting specific foods and taking a bath. However, because the properties of wine varied so much, this procedure did indeed sometimes prevent rather than enhance vomiting (Jouanna 2012: 185-189).

Hippocrates did, however, stress the importance of not prescribing wine indifferently. In each instance when deciding on a therapeutic regime, the quality, nature and quantity of wine had to be decided on, with reference to the patient’s disease, temperament, constitution and dietary habits. Even climatic conditions and other characteristics of the country could affect therapy. The public art of weighing up advantages and disadvantages of therapy was a constant challenge, and it is thus not surprising that doctors were not always in agreement regarding responsible therapy. In general the authors of the Hippocratic Corpus prescribed that in winter preferably dark and undiluted wine should be taken. In summer it should be well diluted with water, and in autumn and spring moderately diluted. In prescriptions wine dosages were rarely quantitatively specified in any detail. For constipation a soft wine was an excellent purgative, and strong white wine a potent diuretic which removed dysuria. In lung disease wine was an excellent expectorant, and could cause diuresis. Wine-containing local preparations were applied to superficial lesions for cleansing and healing purposes. In surgical procedures wine was commonly used but never in wounds of the head. Wine and nitrate or gall nut extracts were popularly used for open fractures
or subluxations. Hippocrates described an intercostal incision performed for evacuation of pus from the thoracic cavity, followed by the injection of wine and lukewarm oil to avoid rapid drying of tissues. Hysterical attacks were treated with abdominal poultices containing fermented dark wine, flour, herbs and spices. Eye ointment contained honey and soft old wine, cooked together, and a rectal ointment contained eggs boiled in fragrant dark wine. A depilatory cream with a wine base was available, and bathing was commonly supplemented with effusions of oil and warm wine. In gynaecology a wine preparation was popular, e.g. in cleaning a prolapsed uterus and ulcerações of the female parts. The womb was fumigated with wine-based preparations, and clysters commonly contained wine, mixed with flour and herbs. A clyster for dropsy included wine, honey, oil, nitrate and the sap of wild cucumber (Jouanna 2012: 191-2).

Cato (3rd/2nd century BC) wrote extensively on the medical uses of wine. He described a laxative which contained wine mixed with ashes, manure and hellebore. Snake bite and gout could be treated with juniper and myrtle soaked in wine. A mixture of old wine and juniper, boiled in a lead pot, was useful in urinary disease, and wine mixed in very acidic pomegranate cured tapeworm. The Romans of his time recommended wine for mental disorders like depression, memory loss and grief, as well as for bloating when added to bodily ointments, constipation, diarrhoea, gout, halitosis and vertigo (Phillips 2000: 57-63).

Dioscorides (1st century BC) recommended wine in moderation with exercise for fever, to be continued until the pulse collapsed. Periodic fever needed salted wine. Phrenitis patients should be treated with strong undiluted wine which could be mixed with seawater. A drunken person should never receive wine. Wine is sometimes recommended for lethargy, but it might precipitate convulsions in this condition. Cardiac disease should be treated night and day with strong brine flavoured wine taken after food (Caelius Aurelianus, Acute diseases 1: 109, 111).

Dioscorides (1st century BC) wrote extensively on kinds of wine and its effect on man. He stated that white wine was healthier than red wine which engendered drunkenness. Sweet wine was coarse but less inebriating than dry wine. All healthier than red wine which engendered drunkenness. Sweet wines tended to produce thick yellow, sweet and medium tasting wines are most effective. They also ameliorate chest disease (without fever and coughing). Cilician wines were however very hard and useless for chest disease. Sour wines had no effects in different patients; it was for instance often good for the stomach, but sometimes bad for failing eye sight and bladder disease (Materia medica V.6-9).

Soranus (1st – 2nd century AD) wrote that when vomiting was prominent a mixture of radishes, wine and honey (or vinegar) was effective. In cardiac disease very strong wine regularly taken, was indicated. On weaning a child he/she should not be alienated from anything already used, e.g. drinking of hot or cold fluids, even including some wine (Gynaecia 2.48).

Galen (2nd century AD) stated that wine was good for old people as it balanced the hot and cold humours. Because of its rapid absorption, transformation and assimilation wine was excellent nourishment, but only when ingested, not when applied to external surfaces. Potentially hot wine was rapidly converted into blood. Sweet wines tended to produce thick blood, was bad for the spleen and diseased liver, but good for the chest. Clear, yellow wines were very nutritious and produced medium humours. Thick black wine produced thick blood. Yellow sweet transparent wines were the healthiest, but because of its hot tendency the head was affected early, and it should thus not be taken by epileptics and people with headaches or mania. They also ameliorate chest disease (without fever and coughing). Cilician wines were however very hard and useless for chest disease. Sour wines had no medicinal properties, and caused health problems by remaining in the stomach and causing vomiting. When taken to improve the size and speed of the pulse, wine was better than stimulating foods. During convalescence white or mildly yellow, sweet and medium tasting wines are most effective. Galen’s royal tonic, theriac, contained wine and opium (The soul’s dependence on the body K. IV.778-779, 810-812; Mixtures K.I. 655-656, 659; The pulse for beginners K.VIII.10; The art of medicine K.I. 406.

Caelius Aurelianus (5th century AD) warns that any wine taken in excess early in a disease causes exacerbation. For chronic headache he prescribed a complex regime which even included some wine with a diet. He advised specific wines for different disease complexes. For mild fevers salted Samothracian wine was indicated. In cardiac disease he prescribed a clear white wine, like Surrentine or Phalernian. Severe fevers needed thinner wines, e.g. Sabine or Tiburtine; when general nutrition was needed (in afebrile stile), he prescribed Setian or Phalernian wine, given with food. When bleeding was a problem, local applications of Aminane wine, with cupping, was indicated. For synanche mixtures of herbs,
6. Conclusion

From this study it is clear that wine was considered a most important component of daily life in Graeco-Roman times. Besides beer, wine was the only alcoholic drink of the time. It was used in some religious ceremonies, was seen as a social attribute, a useful nutriment and significant medicament for illnesses of the body and the mind. As in modern times wine was recognised as a cause of social and medical problems, but it played a much more defined role in the therapeutic function of the physician. Alcoholic intoxication was not viewed with the social negativity of modern times. It was considered a disease rather than a social evil, and managed as such. Literature of the times contains no evidence of formal censuring by the medical profession.

It is evident that although viticulture originated in Greece, the evolution of the Roman Empire saw extensive development of the wine trade which reached a climax during the Roman “Golden Age” (2nd century BC). Pliny the Elder (1st century AD) wrote that before 150 BC wine played a much reduced role in Rome, when women and slaves were originally not allowed to drink. In his day the wine market contained a large variety of wines, exceeding 50 in number and originating from the whole Mediterranean area and beyond. The decline of the Roman Empire eventually also saw a marked decline in the contemporary international wine market (Rutten 1997: 50-55). In the treatises of the Hippocratic authors four therapeutic wines have specific names, whilst the work of subsequent writers like Dioscorides, Galen and Caelius Aurelianus have ample mention of wines with names of origin. Much of the information from the Greek era is derived from the Hippocratic writers. The question may arise whether the Greek medical community at large agreed with their approach. It is thus relevant to conclude with the views of a contemporary Athenian physician, Mnesithas (4th century BC) as recorded by Athenaeus:

“The gods revealed wine to mortals to be the greatest blessing for those who use it correctly, and, for those who use it unregulated, the opposite. It gives nourishment for those who use it well, and strengthens the soul and the body. In medicine it is a very useful thing. Indeed, it can be mixed with medicine in a potion, and is beneficial for those who have wounds. In daily gatherings, for those who drink in moderate and mixed amount, it adds to their wellbeing. However, if it is drunk in excess, it leads to violence. If it is drunk in equal measure, it provokes madness; and if it is taken undiluted it leads to paralysis of the body. This is why Dionysus is everywhere called a doctor” (Jouanna 2012: 193)
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